

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS  
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

Date: 9/04/24 Surveyor: Peter Pollard

**Tree Details**

TPO Ref: / Tree/Group No: / Species Oak

Owner (if known) Rear

Location: 47 Gillbert Road.

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition and suitability for TPO**  
Refer to guidance note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Unsafe Unsuitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable

| Score & Notes |
|---------------|
| Suitable-3    |

**b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO**

Refer to "Species Guide" section in guidance note

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10 Unsuitable

| Score & Notes |
|---------------|
| 100+ yrs - 5  |

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable
- 1) Young, v small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

| Score and notes              |
|------------------------------|
| Large tree, limited view - 3 |
| subtot - 11                  |

**d) Impact**

- Do the tree/s protect wildlife habitat? YES  NO
- Do the trees filter noise light or dust? YES  NO
- Is/are the tree/s worthy for their intrinsic beauty? YES  NO
- Do the trees screen an eyesore/new development? YES  NO
- Are the tree/s worthy for their contribution to the landscape? YES  NO
- Photographs attached? YES  NO

**e) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable, historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score and notes

Note - 1.

sub tot - 12

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

Score and notes

known threat to the tree - 5

sub tot - 17

**Part 3: Public Interest**

State whether there has been any public interest for this TPO

No of requests & notes

Neighbour request.

**Part 4: Decision Guide**

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

Add scores for total

17

Decision

Definitely  
merits TPO.