| Scheme Location | Type of feature | Options considered | Reasons features are not taken forward (Omitted) | Feature to be retained (as drawn), a revised or new proposal taken forward | Compliance with LTN 1/20 (Y/N | Feature revised on drawing since consultation (Y/N) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | • | |
| GENERAL (ON MULTIPLE SHEETS) | | | | | | |
| | | | | A6 Southbound carriageway, from approximately 90m south of Crossley Rd to Highbury Road. | | |
| A6: Lloyd Rd - Belmont Bridge / route | Bus Lane | Introduction of new bus lanes | | Consultation results: Generally - Against proposal Postcode plot: Against proposal locally (3:2) | Yes- Lane width = 3.25m | |
| | | | | A6 Southbound carriageway, from Highbury Road to Manchester Rd. Consultation results: Generally - Against proposal | | |
| | | | | Postcode plot: Against proposal locally (3:2) | | |
| A6: Lloyd Rd - Belmont Bridge / route | Bus Lane (Hours of operation) | 7am – 7pm bus lane time restrictions | The hours of operation of the bus lanes are outside the scope of the consultation. Alteration to bus lane times would need to be considered for the whole route (Stockport and Manchester), which will be a complex and time-consuming issue. | Proposal to continue with existing times (7-10 – 4-7) | N/A | N (Proposal with existing times was included on the consultation drawings) |
| A6: Manchester Road - Heaton Road | Segregated Cycleway | 2m unidirectional protected cycleways | A6. 2) Where required, Insufficient space to provide 4 x 3.25m running lanes, 2.5m right turn pockets, 2 x 2m footways, Parking bays where required, 2.0m cycle lanes with 0.5m buffer. 3) Numerous side roads and busy vehicular access points make it difficult to run a two-way segregated cycleway on either side of the road due to safety concerns. Hence, uni-directional facilities needed. 4) Land acquisition would be required to provide set-back cycle crossings at side road junctions. Geometry / space does not allow set-back crossings on most side roads. Hence, uni-directional facilities needed. 5) Land acquisition would be required to widen the A6 to accommodate bus stops and junctions where right turning lanes are required. There is a requirement for right turn lanes at busy priority junctions and signal junctions. 6) Almost all parking bays would need to be removed from the corridor to accommodate a segregated cycleway. 7) The provision of segregated cycleways along the A6 is beyond the current budget and programme. 8) The current cycle usage on the A6 is around 2% of current road traffic. | Alternative cycle routes which runs parallel to the A6. | See below | N/A (A proposal for a segregated cycleway was not included on the consultation drawings) |
| Scheme wide / multiple locations | Quiet on-carriageway cycle route | Quiet on-carriageway cycle route on Parallel/Cross routes | | Quiet on-carriageway cycle route | Y | N |
| Scheme wide / multiple locations | Vehicular dropped crossings | Vehicular dropped crossings | | Vehicular dropped crossings | N/A | N |
| Scheme wide / multiple locations | Raised junction tables | Raised junction tables (included in consultation) | | Raised junction tables | Y | Y |
| Scheme wide / multiple locations | Footway improvements | Installation of uncontrolled crossings with tactile paving (for pedestrians), the reduction of corner kerb radii and footway widening to reduce the crossing distances | | Footway improvements | Y | N |
| Scheme wide / multiple locations | Bus stops | Existing bus stops to be modified to current TfGM standards | | Subject to further design development and consultation with tfGM | Υ | N |
| Scheme wide / multiple locations | 20 MPH | 20 MPH | | 20 MPH | N/A | N |

| A6 / Lloyd Rd / Crossley Rd | Junction / crossing | Toucan crossing. | Lloyd Road and the junction with the A6 is within the MCC boundary. MCC requested this measure not be included in the consultation. To accommodate the Toucan crossings it would be necessary to provide an all red pedestrian / cycle stage. Traffic modelling by GMUTC indicated that the junctions would operate considerably over capacity adding to delays to traffic, including for buses, on the A6. | | N/A | N/A |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| A6 / Crossley Rd | Signalised junction | Improvements to existing signalised junction at Crossley Rd (Included in consultation) | | Improvements to existing signalised junction at Crossley Road to bring pedestrian crossings up to current standards, including replacement of signal equipment. | | |
| A6/ Lloyd Road | Signalised Junction | Signal upgrade (Equipment only) to maintain compatibility with Crossley Road. | | Not in consultation as no change to layout. | | |
| A6: Lloyd Rd - Crossley Rd (Eastern side / MacDonalds & KFC) | Shared cycleway / footway | A shared use cycle facility on the east side of the A6. | 1)No onward connection into Manchester along the A6. 2)Traffic modelling by GMUTC (See above Re: No Toucan crossings) 3) Restricted space would not allow a segregated cycleway and would require land aquisision. | | N/A | N/A |
| Lloyd Rd (MCC) | Two-way segregated cycleway | A two-way segregated cycle facility on the south side of | There are currently no proposals to develop connections into Manchester. | | N/A | N/A |
| A6: Lloyd Rd - Crossley Rd (Western side / Shop |) Bollards | lloyd Rd Bollards to front of adopted footway (road kerb) to prevent | | Bollards to back of adopted footway | N/A | |
| frontages) A6: Crossley Rd (Black Brook Bridge) - Roxton R | | on footway parking Segregated cycleway | Segregated cycleway omitted: 1) No onward connection into Manchester along the A6. 2)Traffic modelling of Weybrook Rd junction by GMUTC (see below) Loss of Highway Trees | Bollards to back of adopted footway | N/A | N/A |
| A6 / Weybrook Rd | Junction / crossing | Set back crossing Give way Signalised junction To retain existing layout | Restricted visibility and space would not allow for a set-back crossing for the segregated cycleway across the junction A give-way was considered at TfGM (DRP) but discounted as it did not give priority for cycles over side road traffic. Signals were proposed with cycles crossing the side road on a sparrow-type crossing. Traffic modelling by GMUTC indicated that the junction would operate considerably over capacity adding to delays to traffic, including buses, on the A6. | To retain existing layout | Y | N/A |
| A6 (near Weybrook Rd) | Existing Toucan crossing | Existing crossing to be retained | | Existing crossing to be retained | Y | N/A |
| A6 / Highbury Rd | Junction / crossing | Set back crossing | | Retain existing layout | Y | N/A |
| | Junction / crossing | Set back crossing | Segregated cycleway omitted: •No onward connection into Manchester along the A6. •Traffic modelling by GMUTC | Retain existing layout | Y | N/A |
| A6 / Roxton Rd | Junction / crossing | | | | Ī | |
| A6 / Roxton Rd A6 (Milwain Dr - Alford Rd) | Existing Toucan crossing | Existing crossing to be retained | | Existing crossing to be retained | | |

| | Т | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------|
| A6 / Manchester Rd (Option 1) | Junction / crossing | 2) New signals north of the junction for SB traffic 3)Upgrade crossings to Toucan | Opposition to the Toucan crossings on the A6. | See Option 3 below | | Y - see below |
| A6 / Manchester Rd (Option 2) | Junction / crossing | The junction of Manchester Rd /A6 realigned New signals north of the junction for SB traffic Upgrade existing crossings to Toucans. A Puffin crossing to be provided across the A6 north of the junction. Removal of existing parking bays A one-way segregated cycleway along the shop frontage on Manchester Road. A shared footway/cycleway for pedestrians and cyclists using the new Toucan crossings. | Option 2 has been revised (see Option 5 below) | | Y | Y - see below |
| A6 / Manchester Rd (Option 3 - Amended Proposal following consultation) | Shared / segregated / toucan crossings | Option 1 (Revised): Add segregated cycleway | Option 3 has been revised (see Option 6 below) | | Y | Y |
| A6 / Manchester Rd (Option 4 - Amended Proposal following consultation) | Upgrade of signalised junction with Puffin crossings on all arms | Option 2 (Revised): 1) Change the Toucan crossings to Puffin crossings 2) Removed shared and segregated cycling facilities 3) Retain existing parking bays | Option did not include adequate cycling facilities | | | |
| A6 / Manchester Rd (Option 5 - Amended Proposal following consultation) | Shared / segregated / Toucan & Puffin crossings | Option 2 (Revised): • Realign one-way segregated cycleway • Retain proposed Puffin crossing on northern arm • Proposed loading bay (no parking bays) | | Shared / segregated / Toucan & Puffin crossings / Loading bays | Y | |
| A6 / Manchester Rd (Option 6 - Amended Proposal following consultation) | Shared / segregated / toucan crossings | Option 3 (Revised): • Realign a one-way segregated cycleway | | Shared / segregated / toucan crossings/ Lay by | Υ | |
| A6 / Manchester Rd | Sparrow crossing with segregated facility | Sparrow crossing with segregated facility | Proposal would interfere with vehicular access to adjacent poperties | | N/A | N/A |
| A6 / Ellesmere Rd N | Junction / one-way | One-way / two-way | One-way omitted prior to consultation as benefit to the aims and objectives of the A6 Radial Project is marginal. A proposal for a one-way was not included in the consultation. | | N/A | N/A |
| A6 (Victoria Gr - Brackley Rd) | Carriageway width reduction (Footway widening) | Carriageway width reduction (Footway widening) Retain existing parking | | The north-eastern footway (SB carriageway) will be widened to 2m width behind the parking bays at Nos. 300-314 Wellington Road North. | Υ | N |
| A6 (near Brackley Rd) | Existing Puffin crossing | Existing Puffin crossing to be removed and a new Toucan crossing is to be located closer to the junction with Brackley Road, with a shared footway / cycleway on both sides of the A6. Segregation of the crossing as a 'sparrow' type crossing was considered but footway widths on the A6 would not permit enough space for the segregated cycleway connections. | | Existing Puffin crossing to be removed and a new Toucan crossing is to be located closer to the junction with Brackley Road, with a shared footway / cycleway on both sides of the A6. Proposal revised with extension of shared foot/cycleway added. | Y | Υ |
| A6 / Brackley Rd | Junction / one-way | One-way was considered | One-way omitted prior to consultation as benefit to the aims and objectives of the A6 Radial Project is marginal. | | N/A | N/A |
| SHEET 3 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | • |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| A6: Denby Ln - Harvey Cl | Carriageway widening | SB carriageway widening (NE Footway width reduction) to accommodate standard-width bus lanes and other traffic lanes | | Carriageway widening | Y | N |
| A6: Brantwood Rd - Warwick Ct | Carriageway widening | NB carriageway wideningto accommodate standard-width bus lanes and other traffic lanes | | Carriageway widening | Υ | N N |
| A6 / Warwick Rd / Glenfield Rd | Signalised Junction / crossings | As Option 2 (Signalised junction) but with NB RT approach lane omitted to accommodate equipment Option 4: (Amended scheme following consultation) Upgrade proposed Puffin crossings (Option 2) to Toucan. | Omit: Option 1 - signalised junction was preferred Option 2 - omitted to accommodate equipment Option 5 - Short sections of segregated facility without link to continue route and would require separate signage. Option 6 -Do not assist cyclists following A6 Option 7 (Unable to deliver Cyclops as part of CRSTS 1. 3rd party land is required on 3 corners of the junction and bus stop bypass. Increased timescales and budget required to deliver this option, including CPO) | Option 3 | Y | Y |
| A6: Whitefield - Heaton Rd | Carriageway widening | Carriageway widening | | Carriageway widening | Υ | N |
| A6 / Heaton Rd | Junction / crossings | Option 1: (Not consulted on) Two-way access into Castlewood Apartments opposite Heaton Rd (not consulted on) Option 2: (Included in consultation) Relocation of the existing pedestrian crossing on the northern arm of signalised junction of A6 / Heaton Rd, further south towards the junction. New pedestrian crossing facility across the exit from Castlewood Apartments Option 3: (Amended scheme following consultation) Upgrade proposed ped crossings to Toucan. Shared use footway | Omit: Option 1 - Option 2 preferred | Option 3 | | |
| A6: Heaton Rd - Sparthfield Rd | Carriageway width reduction (Footway widening) | ■ ② arriageway width reduction ■ ③ Betain existing parking bays | | Oarriageway width reduction Retain existing parking bays | Υ | N |
| | | | | | | |
| SHEFT 4 | | | | | | |
| SHEET 4 Leegate Rd | Unadopted road | Improvements to the surface to the footway and carriageway along the unadopted section Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary A two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through the existing road closure | | ● Improvements to the surface to the footway and carriageway along the unadopted section ◆ Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. ◆ Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary ◆ A two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through the existing road closure | Y | N |
| | Unadopted road | carriageway along the unadopted section •©onsideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •■ two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through | | • Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. • Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary | Y | N |
| Leegate Rd SHEET 5 | Unadopted road | carriageway along the unadopted section •©onsideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •■ two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through | | • Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. • Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary | Y | N |
| Leegate Rd | Unadopted road Traffic calming | carriageway along the unadopted section •©onsideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •■ two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through | | • Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. • Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary | Y | N |
| Leegate Rd SHEET 5 SHEET 6 | | carriageway along the unadopted section •Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •A two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through the existing road closure Road hump | A proposed one way could support the school street but this does not contribute to the aims and objectives of the A6 Radial Project. Consultation results: Against proposal | section •②consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •③treet lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •④ two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through the existing road closure Road hump Speed Survey Result: Low speed | Y N/A | N Y |
| SHEET 5 SHEET 6 Buckingham Rd (St Thomas' School) | Traffic calming | carriageway along the unadopted section •Consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •Street lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •A two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through the existing road closure Road hump | A proposed one way could support the school street but this does not contribute to the aims and objectives of the A6 Radial Project. | section •②consideration given to possible traffic calming measures. •③treet lighting to be reviewed and improved to current standards as necessary •④ two-way segregated cycle path is to be provided through the existing road closure Road hump Speed Survey Result: Low speed | Y N/A N/A | N Y |

| Heaton Moor Rd / Heaton Chapel Station | | Toucan crossing Shared use footway / cycleway (Heaton Moor Road: Tatton Rd S - Egerton Rd S) | | Was not considered originally as part of the A6BR scheme, but to be added. | Υ | Υ |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|
| | | | | | | |
| SHEET 7 | | | | | | |
| Peel Moat Road | Traffic calming | Road hump | | Road hump Speed Survey Result: Medium speed (85th %tile speed: 24.85) Consultation Result: Feature supported | Υ | N |
| Warwick Rd | Traffic calming | Road hump | Route changed | | N/A | Υ |
| Warwick Rd / Broomfield | Change in priority | Change in priority | Consultation results: Against proposal Route changed. The change in priority has been omitted. | | N/A | Y |
| | · | • | | | | |
| Warwick Rd | Traffic calming | | | Speed Survey Result: Low speed (85th %tile speed: 23.38) The traffic calming is to be retained. Consultation results: Generally - Against proposal Postcode plot: Support proposal locally (7:3) | | |
| Warwick Rd Railway Bridge | Carriageway width reduction / footway widening | Carriageway width reduction / footway widening | | Carriageway width reduction / footway widening | N/A | N |
| Warwick Rd (A6 - Tatton Rd S / Heaton Rd) | Weight restriction | Weight restriction to support narrowed carriageway | | Weight restriction | N/A | N |
| Derby Road - Heaton Road | Road closure | Road closure to traffic Two-way segregated cycle path | | Road closure to be retained. Consultation results: Generally - Against proposal Postcode plot: Support proposal locally (6:4) | Υ | N |
| Parsonage Rd / Heaton Road | Junction table With existing priority | Junction table (Not consulted on. Amended scheme following consultation) | | Junction table With existing priority | | |
| Heaton Rd / Alexandra Rd / Ashburn Rd | Mini roundabout Raised priority junction withToucan crossing Raised priority junction with a Tiger | Option 3: (Amended scheme following consultation) Raised priority junction with Toucan crossing Option 4: (Amended scheme following consultation) | OPT 2: First alternative is a mini roundabout. 3rd party land is required | Option 5: Priority junction, with raised table and uncontrolled dropped crossings with tactiles | | |
| SHEET 9 | | | | | | |
| Ashburn Road | Traffic calming | Road humps (permanent and in addition to junction tables). Replacing the existing bolt down cushions | | Speed Survey Result: Medium speed (85th %tile speed: 25.68) Consultation results: Generally - Proposal supported | | |
| Ashburn Rd / Bowerfold | Change in priority | Change in priority | Consultation results: Generally - Against proposal Postcode plot - Support proposal locally (2:1) The change of priority at Ashburn Rd / Bowerfold Ln is not critical to the scheme and can be omitted. To be omitted | | N/A | Y |

| | | _ | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|
| Bowerfold Ln - Higher Bury St (PROW 162S - bridleway) | Segregated two-way cycleway / Widen path (incl. remove hedge) | Option 1: Segregated two-way cycleway and footway Option 2: Shared use path | | OPT1: Segregated two-way cycleway and footway. Subject to satisfactory resolution of land issues. | Υ | N |
| | | | | Shared use footway/cycleway | | |
| Higher Bury St | Shared use footway/cycleway | Shared use footway/cycleway along the eastern side | | Consultation results: In favour | Y | N |
| Lower Bury St j/w Rooth St | Shared use footway/cycleway | Footway widening on the eastern side to provide a footway / cycleway | | Shared use footway/cycleway | Υ | N |
| Lower Bury St (Link to George's Rd) | Segregated two-way cycleway / footway | Segregated two-way cycleway / footway | | Segregated two-way cycleway / footway | Υ | Υ |
| SHEET 10 | | | | | | |
| Travis Brow / George's Rd | Junction / crossing | Sparrow Crossing (to replace existing Toucan) | | Sparrow Crossing | Υ | |
| | - | | | Segregated 2-way cycleway / footway | | N |
| Travis Brow: Georges Rd - Heaton Ln RAB | Segregated 2-way cycleway / footway | Segregated 2-way cycleway / footway | | Consultation results: Proposal supported | Υ | N |
| Travis Brow (Ambulance Station) | Crossing | Sparrow Crossing (to replace existing Toucan) | | Sparrow Crossing | Y | N |
| Travis Brow / Hope St | Junction / crossing | Crossing for segregated cycleway at Hope St: Option 1: Tiger Crossing Option 2: Give Way | Option 1: Tiger Crossing is not suitable for access road for emergency vehicles or for possible bus depot proposals. | Option 2: Give Way | Y | N |
| Travis Brow: Pyramid RAB - Hope St | Shared use foot/cycleway | Shared use foot/cycleway | | Shared use foot/cycleway | Υ | N |
| Heaton Lane RAB / Consultation scheme (Omit) | Segregated cycleway / crossings | Segregated cycleway Retaining wall Tiger crossings on two lane entries to the roundabout (Travis Brow, Great Egerton Street) | (expensive and possible delay getting agreement from National Highways) Tiger crossings on busy two lane entries to the roundabout disruptive to build, could increase delays and are close to exceeding ATE recommended flow for a Zebra / Tiger type crossing. Works do not connect to the TPT route along Heaton Lane towards the riverside path. Extensive works are required outside The Crown pub. | | N/A | Y |
| Heaton Lane RAB (Amended Proposal) | Segregated cycleway / crossings Shared use foot/cycleway | Segregated cycleway Sparrow and Tiger crossings. Changes to Heaton Lane roundabout Shared use foot/cycleway | | Segregated cycleway over the south (King Street West) and west (Heaton Lane) arms of the roundabout using Sparrow and Tiger crossings. Extends the improvements towards Junction 1. Widen and re-surfacing the existing shared use path from 2.5m to 3m. Changes to Heaton Lane roundabout | Υ | Y |
| Heaton Lane [west] (Acorn Business Park) | Segregated 2-way cycleway / footway Shared use path | Segregated 2-way cycleway / footway Shared use path | | Segregated 2-way cycleway / footway Shared use path to TPT | Υ | Y |
| SHEET 11 | | | | | | |
| Carnforth Rd | Option 1: Road closure to traffic Option 2: Junction table | Option 1: (Included in consultation) Road closure /segregated cycle facility Option 2: (Amended scheme following consultation. Not included in consultation) Junction table / uncontrolled crossing with island | High opposition to the closure of Carnforth Road. Option 1 is not essential to the route and can be omitted with an alternative traffic calming measure introduced instead (Option 2). Consultation results (Option 1): Generally - Against proposal (51:37) Postcode plot - Proposal not supported locally (6:0 against) | OPT 2: Junction table / uncontrolled crossings (without island) | N/A | Y |
| Carnforth Rd / Broadstone Hall Rd N / Nelstrop Rd | Traffic calming (included in consultation) | Road humps (in addition to junction tables) | Road humps not required with junction tables (as per OPT 2)) | | N/A | Y |
| Carnforth Rd / North of Broadstone Hall Rd N - Castlerigg Cl | Traffic calming (Amended scheme, not included in consultation) | Road humps and junction tables | | Road humps and junction tables | | |

| | | | The Carnforth Rd / Nelstrop Rd priority change is to be omitted. | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------|
| Carnforth Rd / Nelstrop Rd | Change in priority | Change in priority | Consultation results: Generally - Against proposal (47:33) Postcode plot - Proposal not supported locally (6:0 against) | | N/A | |
| Simon Freeman Close (SMBC / MCC boundary) | Shared use footway / cycleway | Shared use footway / cycleway | | To be discussed further (Re: Agreement with MCC and subject to further consultation) | N/A | Y N/A |
| (SWIBE) WIEE BOUNDARY) | | | | | | |
| SHEET 12 | | | | | | |
| | | Shared use footway / cycleway | Consultation results: | | | |
| Manchester Road: Halesden Rd - Denby Ln | Shared use footway / cycleway | (Included in consultation) | Generally - Proposal not supported (61:26) Locally - 4:4 (split) | | | |
| Manchester Road: Halesden Rd - Orthes Gr Orthes Grove - Ash Grove Ash Grove - Deby Ln | Shared use footway / cycleway | Shared use footway / cycleway: Section 1: Halesden Rd - Orthes G Section 2: Orthes Grove to Ash Grove Section 3: Ash Grove - Deby Ln (Amended Scheme) | Section 2 Orthes Grove to Ash Grove dropped following consultation | Shared use footway / cycleway: Section 1: Halesden Rd - Orthes Gr Section 2: Ash Grove - Deby Ln | | |
| Ash Grove | A one-way segregated Contra-flow Cycleway | Segregated Contra-flow Cycleway (one way) (Included in consultation) | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported (45:39) | Segregated Contra-flow Cycleway (one way) widened by relocation of fence. | | |
| Manchester Road Park | A two-way segregated Cycleway | ramp. (Amended scheme following consultation. Not included in | Locally - 3:2 (against) Ash Grove option preferred | | | |
| Manchester Rd: Orthes Grove - Manchester Road Park | A two-way segregated Cycleway removing on street parking | (Amended scheme following consultation. Not included in consultation) | Shared use footway preferred (to retain parking) as residents do not have off-street provision | | | |
| Manchester Rd (Lambs Fold & Denby Lane) | Toucan Crossing | Toucan Crossing | | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal supported Locally - 9:1 (in favour) | | |
| Lambs Fold - Brackley Rd | Shared use footway / cycleway | Shared use footway / cycleway | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported (40:38) Locally - in favour (5:3) | Shared use footway / cycleway | | |
| Manchester Rd / Denby Lane | Junction (width & weight restriction) | | | Width & weight restriction added following Cllr briefing Consultation results: Generally - Proposal supported (40:38) Locally - 5:3 (in favour) | N/A | N |
| Manchester Rd / Denby Lane | Raised junction table | Raised junction table (Amended scheme following consultation. Not included in consultation) | | Raised junction table | | |
| Manchester Rd: Denby Lane - Whitehill St W | Segregated cycleway | Segregated cycleway | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported (58:32) Locally - in favour (3:0) | Segregated cycleway | | |
| Manchester Rd / Whitehill St W | Signalised junction | Upgrade ped crossing to Toucans with shared facilities Ontion 2: | Consultation results (Option 1): Generally - Proposal not supported (42:39) Locally - in favour (5:0) | Option 2 due to lack of space on the Southern footway on the Bridge. | | |
| Manchester Rd: Whitehill St W - Lloyd St | Segregated cycleway | | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported Locally - 2:0 (in favour) | Segregated cycleway | | |
| Manchester Rd / Lloyd St | Raised junction table | Raised junction table (additional option, not considered originally) (Amended scheme following consultation. Not included in consultation) | | Raised junction table | | |
| Lloyd St | Traffic calming | Traffic calming | Speed Survey Results: Medium speed (85th %tile speed: 25.02) Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported Locally - 2:0 (in favour) Supported by ward memebers | Traffic calming | N/A | Y |

SHEET 13

| Lloyd St / Belmont St / Baker St / Grafton St | Traffic calming | Road humps | | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported overall Locally - In favour (2:0) | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| Baker St and Short St | Traffic calming | Road humps | | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported overall Locally - No response | | |
| Lloyd St / All Saints Rd | Segregated cycle path Contraflow cycle lane | Segregated cycle path Contraflow cycle lane (on one-way) | | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal not supported overall Locally - In favour (2:0) | | |
| Mendip CI - Quantock CI | Segregated cycle path | Segregated cycle path | | Consultation results: Generally - Proposal supported | Υ | N |
| SHEET 15 | | | | | | |
| Heaton Norris Park | Shared / Segregated cycleway | Shared / Segregated cycleway | | Shared / Segregated cycleway (Subject to further Consultation) | Υ | Y |
| OTHER (NO SHEET) | | | | | | |
| A6 / Heaton Lane | Junction / crossing upgrade to sparrow | Links western cycle route to Mersey Square | Was considered originally but omitted and not included in consultation as existing Toucan crossing in place. | | | |
| Crossley Rd (to Cringle Park) | Cycle route | Cycleway connection via Crossley Road to Cringle Park | Any redesign to accommodate a cycleway beneath the railway bridge would be a complex, expensive and time-consuming undertaking • The carriageway is split on two levels with a varying over-head clearance • Both footways are at different levels and vary in width • Space required for on street parking for houses where there no alternative provision • Drainage problems and flooding issues. • Currently, there are no proposals for onward connection into Manchester | | | |