## Portfolio: Economy, Regeneration and Housing

## **Strategic Intent**

Our continued investment in regeneration is critical in providing confidence for partners and external investors, particularly in unlocking and bringing forward investment across public and private sectors. Key to this is the Mayoral Development Corporation (MDC) and the ongoing redevelopment of Stockport Town Centre. This will transform the town centre into a vibrant, attractive, and inclusive destination that offers a range of amenities, services, and experiences for our residents and visitors. Through our economic plan we will boost the local economy, reduce unemployment, and increase skills and opportunities for our residents. Our St Thomas' Academy of Living Well, will provide support for people transitioning between hospital and home, enhancing the quality of life, wellbeing, and choice of our residents. We will continue to work with partners to unlock sites for new homes, work with registered providers to provide a high quality housing offer, particularly for those in need, and continue to work with owners to bring long-term empty homes back into use.

## Portfolio: Climate Change & Environment

## **Strategic Intent**

Demonstrating our commitment, responsibility, and accountability for addressing the climate emergency, climate action is embedded within everything we do, underpinned by the 2030 operationally net zero target for the council. We will focus on improving energy efficiency and uptake of renewable energy sources across the council's estate and the borough more widely. This will reduce our greenhouse gas emissions, energy costs, and dependence on fossil fuels. We will utilise the council's civic leadership role to influence residents and businesses to act on climate change in order to help meet the 2038 carbon neutrality target for the borough. This will raise awareness, engagement, and action among our stakeholders and partners, as well as showcasing best practices and innovations.

The first table describes savings for 2024/25. The second table describes savings to reduce our use of contingency funding being used to offset current pressures.

CP priority		Directorate and Service area	Proposal summary	Saving 24/25 (£000)	Lead Portfolio
Efficient and Effective Services	Robust Corporate Governance	CSS/ Planning	Planning and Building Control – increased fees and charges		Economy, Regeneration & Housing
			Total MTFP Savings	60	

CP priority	MTFP driver	Directorate and Service area	Proposal summary	Saving 24/25 (£000)	Lead Portfolio
Efficient and Effective Services Robust Corporate Governance		Service redesign and service alignment			
		Place e	Maximising opportunities for sharing services with SMBC and TLC particularly in developing a core corporate and support service and reviewing neighbourhoods and strategic infrastructure.	100	Climate Change and Environment
	Corporate		Project Evergreen Efficiencies made through optimisation of routes.	160	
			Waste Review		
			Review waste service in line with other authorities across GM to maintain Stockport's pre-eminent recycling in the most efficient and cost effective way.	630	
			Total Savings	890	

Project	Planning and Building Control – Increased fees and charges (£0.060m)
Lead portfolio	Economy, Regeneration and Housing
Council Plan Priority	Efficient and Effective Services
Senior responsible officer	Michael Cullen
MTFP Lens	Robust Corporate Governance
Service	Planning and Building Control
Proposal summary	Planning and Building Control - increased fees and charges
	Implement an increase in nationally set Planning fees expected from April 24 as well as an increase in locally set pre-application charges.
How will the saving be achieved	Savings will be achieved as a result of an increase in nationally set Planning fees expected from April 24 as well as an increase in locally set pre-application charges.
24/25	
Any benchmarking information available to compare Stockport with comparable authorities	Increased pre-application charges will be benchmarked across others in GM to ensure market comparison. Charges are low in comparison to some, particularly on larger scale proposals.
Workforce impact. Outline any changes to the workforce as a result of this proposal	There will be no changes to staffing as a result of this proposal.
Outline any risks or changes to service delivery and impact this proposal may have on service users	The service is undergoing transformation and this will deliver improvement to the service offered to residents and customers of the service. The charges to residents using the Planning Service will increase, this will largely stem from national fee income increases.
Delivering the change	If approved, proposals will be implemented from April 2024.

Project	Planning and Building Control – Increased fees and charges (£0.060m)
List key milestones and delivery dates (where known)	
Will reserves/ double running be needed in order to deliver this proposal?	No.
Is public consultation needed?	No specific consultation on this proposal is needed, however we have sought views on all our change proposals through our overall budget public consultation.
Is a separate EqIA (Equalities impact assessment) needed?	An EqIA will be appropriate and undertaken where proposals have an impact on service delivery.
Is a separate EIA (Environmental impact assessment) needed?	No EIA is required.

Project	TLC (£0.100m) This is a recovery against current pressures. The proposal is therefore not included in overall figures for 24/25 MTFP savings.
Lead portfolio	Climate Change and Environment
Council Plan Priority	Effective and Efficient Services
Senior responsible officer	Mark Glynn
MTFP Lens	Robust Corporate Governance
Service	Place

Project	TLC (£0.100m) This is a recovery against current pressures. The proposal is therefore not included in overall figures for 24/25 MTFP savings.
Proposal summary	Service redesign and service alignment
	Maximising opportunities for sharing services with SMBC and TLC particularly in developing a core corporate and support service and reviewing neighbourhoods and strategic infrastructure.
How will the saving be achieved	There will be a reduction of 2 FTE, which are current vacancies in the team.
24/25	
Any benchmarking information available to compare Stockport with comparable authorities	No.
Workforce impact. Outline any changes to the workforce as a result of this proposal	Reduction of 2 FTE through vacancy management
Outline any internal / organisational impact of the proposal	The work will be undertaken by the remaining officers with an increase of geographic responsibility for colleagues.
Outline any risks or changes to service delivery and impact this proposal may have on service users	A reduced neighbourhoods team will mean that increasingly targeted interventions will be appropriate with reduced capacity for more proactive work.
Delivering the change	Not applicable as the posts are currently vacant.
List key milestones and delivery dates (where known)	
Will reserves/ double running be needed in order	No.

Project	TLC (£0.100m) This is a recovery against current pressures. The proposal is therefore not included in overall figures for 24/25 MTFP savings.
to deliver this proposal?	
Is public consultation needed?	No specific consultation on this proposal is needed, however we have sought views on all our change proposals through our overall budget public consultation.
Is a separate EqIA (Equalities impact assessment) needed?	It is not anticipated that an EqIA will be required.
Is a separate EIA (Environmental impact assessment) needed?	No EIA is required.

Project	Project Evergreen (£0.160m) This is a recovery against current pressures. The proposal is therefore not included in overall figures for 24/25 MTFP savings.
Lead portfolio	Climate Change and Environment
Council Plan Priority	Effective and Efficient Services
Senior responsible officer	Mark Glynn
MTFP Lens	Robust Corporate Governance
Service	Place
Proposal summary	Project Evergreen
	Efficiencies made through optimisation of waste routes.
How will the saving be achieved	Optimisation of waste collection regimes resulting in reduced cost. A new incab and routing software system is being installed across all waste collection vehicles. This will provide information to organise rounds in the most officient meaner. It is expected that the increased efficiency will deliver a paving.
24/25	efficient manner. It is expected that the increased efficiency will deliver a saving.

Any benchmarking information available to compare Stockport with comparable authorities	N/A.
Workforce impact. Outline any changes to the workforce as a result of this proposal	None foreseen at this stage but will be fully scoped as part of this proposal.
Outline any internal / organisational impact of the proposal	To be scoped as part of the project development.
Outline any risks or changes to service delivery and impact this proposal may have on service users	To be scoped as part of the project development.
Delivering the change	To be scoped as part of the project development.
List key milestones and delivery dates (where known)	
Will reserves/ double running be needed in order to deliver this proposal?	No.
Is public consultation needed?	No specific consultation on this proposal is needed, however we have sought views on all our change proposals through our overall budget public consultation
Is a separate EqIA (Equalities impact assessment) needed?	Yes, EqIA will be appropriate and undertaken where proposals have an impact on service delivery.
Is a separate EIA (Environmental impact assessment) needed?	An EIA assessment has been completed and found - alongside anticipated cost savings, there is an anticipated reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from vehicle miles and an anticipated associated improvement to air quality as a result of more efficient waste routes. Refuse vehicles represent 55% of greenhouse gas emissions

from the fleet and are currently the hardest vehicle category to decarbonise due to Electric Vehicle range. Efficiencies to routes will help to lower total emissions from the fleet.

Project	Waste Review (£0.630m) This is a recovery against current pressures. The proposal is therefore not included in overall figures for 24/25 MTFP savings.	
Lead portfolio	Climate Change and Environment	
Council Plan Priority	Effective and Efficient Services	
Senior responsible officer	Mark Glynn	
MTFP Lens	Robust Corporate Governance	
Service	Place	
Proposal summary	<ul> <li>Place</li> <li>Waste review</li> <li>The council has reviewed waste service in line with other authorities across GM to maintain Stockport's pre- eminent recycling in the most efficient and cost effective way. It is proposed to: <ul> <li>Alter the green bin collection to fortnightly. This is not expected to impact the recycling levels as there was no significant impact when the collections were changed during covid.</li> <li>Every eligible property will be supplied with 52 compostable liners annually. This will allow one liner to be used each week. For large families and households that produce larger quantities of food waste, additional rolls of compostable liners will be available to collect from Libraries during their staffed hours. Compostable liners are also available to purchase from all major supermarkets.</li> <li>Increase the charges of replacement bins from £20 to £25 and alter bulky collection charges to reflect costs incurred</li> <li>We will also work through the recommendations from the English waste strategy and will be working with other GM authorities to review the consequences for disposal and collections of any new requirements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
How will the saving be	Altered collection regimes will reduce the number of vehicles and crew required to collect waste. It will also save	

achieved	diesel as fewer miles are travelled by our collection vehicles.
24/25	
Any benchmarking information available to compare Stockport with comparable authorities	The government's emerging national Waste and Resource Strategy will result in local authorities altering their collection schedules. The government has set out what it expects local authorities to collect. We are aware that other local authorities are considering significant changes to their collection rounds in light of the strategy. The government has indicated that charging for green waste collections is something that local authorities should consider and it is expected that numerous authorities will look to introduce such a charge. However, these proposals do not include charging for waste.
	In December 2018, DEFRA published "Our Waste, Our Resources: A Strategy for England" this presented the government's long-term approach to preserve our stock of natural capital, minimise waste, promote resource efficiency and move towards a circular economy.
	This remains subject to consultation the outcome of which Defra will announce shortly. Legislation is expected to be in force by October 2025 in which the indications are that prescribed collection regimes will be imposed – there may be future financial implications if any changes would need to be made in April 2026.
	There are a range of collection regimes across GM – with some of the authorities collecting black waste on a three weekly basis, green waste fortnightly already and pulpable collections less frequently than Stockport.
	In addition, there are several other authorities within GM that already charge higher prices for replacement bins and bulky collections.
Workforce impact. Outline any changes to the workforce as a result of this proposal	Any changes to services will need to be developed with TLC.
Outline any internal / organisational impact of the proposal	To be scoped as part of the project development.
Outline any risks or	To be fully scoped as part of the project development.
changes to service delivery and impact this proposal may have on service users	National waste strategy is expected to prescribe specific collection requirements although there is some uncertainty following the Prime Minister's recent comments.
	The pricing of bulky collections will continue to be competitive to ensure that those people who do the right thing

	will continue to do so rather than use illegal waste disposal operators or fly-tipping. Residents will be also be sign-posted to charities to encourage more re-use of bulky items.
Delivering the change List key milestones and delivery dates (where known)	Charges to increase from 1 <sup>st</sup> April and the next caddy liner delivery will be reduced. The alterations to waste collections are proposed to take place from autumn 2024.
Will reserves/ double running be needed in order to deliver this proposal?	No.
Is public consultation needed?	No specific consultation on this proposal is needed, however we have sought views on all our change proposals through our overall budget public consultation
Is a separate EqIA (Equalities impact assessment) needed?	An EqIA will be appropriate and undertaken where proposals have an impact on service delivery.
Is a separate EIA (Environmental impact assessment) needed?	An EIA assessment has been complete and the following has been identified. Changes to routes to increase route efficiency would not have an impact on waste collection. Altering the green bin collection to fortnightly is "not expected to impact the recycling levels as there was no significant impact when the collections were changed during covid."
	Reviewing the provision of caddy liners to households. We will continue to review the Environmental impact of this proposal as it is developed, using data and learning from other boroughs to inform if this may result in fewer people recycling food waste.
	Currently Stockport supply 140 liners to all properties that have a food waste collection. This is an annual delivery and means some larger households have to purchase additional liners whilst smaller households, and those that do not recycle very much food waste, receive far too many liners each year.
	We know that not supplying compostable caddy liners at all leads to contamination issues as plastic bags use inevitably increases. This has led to entire loads being rejected in some areas in Greater Manchester. This proposal therefore seeks to reduce the cost of supplying unnecessary liners to households whilst still supplying additional liners (via libraries) to those properties that require them.