Stockport Homes Corporate and Tenant Satisfaction Measures

Performance Measure												
Comparison Com	Corporate	Directorate	Performance Measure		Target 23-24	Tolerance 23-24	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	23-24 YTD	Against Target (Monthly)
1976 2007 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 1976 197	Corporate	Operations		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.99%	N/A	
1926 Secretarian Secretarian Secretarian Secretarian 1926				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
	TSM		% of homes for which all required Fire Risk Assessments have been carried out	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
			% of homes for which all required asbestos management surveys or re-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
100 100	TSM	Operations		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
	TSM	Operations	out	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
Comparation	TSM	Operations	carried out	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97.05%	100%	N/A	
Composition	Corporate	Operations		99.48%	100%	99.5%	99.41%	99.57%	99.57%	99.59%	N/A	
Comparate Comp	Corporate	Operations										
Corporate Corp	Corporate	Operations	% satisfaction with repair (transactional)	99.18%	98%	95%	100%	100%	99.16%	99.18%	N/A	
Composite Resources Composite Resources Composite Resources Reso	Corporate	Operations	% repairs completed at first visit	98.53%	95%	92%	97.34%	97.98%	98.35%	98.70%	N/A	
Number of anti-social behaviour cases (including hate incidents) opened per N/A	Corporate	Operations	Average time taken to re-let empty dwellings (all re-lets)	16.4 days	11 days	13.5 days	6.76 days	10.3 days	10.5 Days	10.3 Days	N/A	
1,000 1,00	Corporate	Operations	% of estate inspections rated at least 'good'	98.90%	98%	95%	99.72%	98.78%	98.19%	98.60%	N/A	
1,000 1,00	TSM	Operations	, , , , , ,	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.26	28.97	41.84	53.24	63.51	No target
100 100	TSM	Operations	· · ·	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.08	0.44	0.60	0.78	0.783	No target
Proportion Proportion of emergency responsive repairs completed within the landlord's 29.9%	TSM	Operations	Proportion of homes that do not meet the Decent Homes Standard	N/A	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
15M				99.9%	99.80%	98.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
TSM Operations Larget timescale 78.67% N/A N/A N/A 75.27% \$2.80% 76.79% 75.07% N/A No target	TSM	Operations		33.370	33,00%	30.0070	100%	100/0	100%	20070	.47.	
Shight / Customer services Number of stage one complaints received per 1000 homes 1.54	TSM	Operations		78.67%	N/A	N/A	75.27%	82.80%	76.79%	75.07%	N/A	No target
Skylight / Customer Services Proportion of stage one complaints responded to within the HoS complaint 98% 100% 98% 100% 100% 100% 100% N/A 10				28.57	N/A	N/A	1.56	3.13	3.74	5.30	N/A	No target
Skylight / Customer Services Proportion of stage one complaints responded to within the HoS complaint 98% 100% 98% 100% 100% 100% 100% N/A 1	TSM	Skylight / Customer Services	Number of stage two complaints received per 1000 homes	1.34	N/A	N/A	0.08	0.17	0.34	0.52	N/A	No target
Stylight / Customer Services handling code timescales 100% 98% 100% N/A		, , ,	Proportion of stage one complaints responded to within the HoS complaint	00%	100%	00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
Skylight / Customer Services handling code timescales 93% 100% 98% 100% N/A	TSM	Skylight / Customer Services		3676	100%	3676	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	
Rent collected as a percentage of rent due 100.48% 100.67% 99.24% - 100.58% 121% 101.67% reconciliation needs to take place between the two systems. Rent arrears as a percentage of rental debit 1.95% 1.79% 1.87% 2.48% 1.82% 2.11% 2.19% 2.06% N/A N/A Overall 5.21 days Overall 6.44 days Overall 6.44 days 99.49% 99.75% 98.84% 99.42% 99.61% 100.79% N/A No target No target	TSM	Skylight / Customer Services		93%	100%	98%	100%	N/A		100%	N/A	
Corporate Resources Average days lost due to sickness per employee Availability of core systems Availability of core systems Percentage of people threatened with homelessness where homelessness is then prevented Number of evictions where the tenant is still in situ Overall 5.2 days Overall 6.44 days Overall 6.47 days Overall 6.44 days Overall 6.47 days Overall 6.44 days Overall	Corporate	Resources	Rent collected as a percentage of rent due	100.48%	100.67%	99.24% - 100.58%	121%	101.67%	systems, a reconciliation needs to take place between the two	100.79%	N/A	
Availability of core systems Availability of core systems 99.49% 99.75% 97% 98.84% 99.42% 99.61% 100.00% 99.71% Corporate Skylight / Customer Services Percentage of people threatened with homelessness where homelessness is then prevented Number of evictions where the tenant is still in situ 9.00% N/A N/A N/A O 1 0 0 1 No target	Corporate	Resources	Rent arrears as a percentage of rental debit	1.95%	1.79%	1.87%-2.48%	1.82%	2.11%	2.19%	2.06%	N/A	
Availability of core systems 99.49% 99.75% 98.84% 99.42% 99.61% 100.00% 99.71% No target Number of evictions where the tenant is still in situ 90.00% N/A N/A N/A N/A O 1 No target	Corporate	Resources	Average days lost due to sickness per employee	5.2 days	Overall 5.21 days	Overall 6.44 days	0.24	0.46	0.89	1.32	1.32	
Corporate Skylight / Customer Services prevented 76.05% N/A N/A 67.78% 66.95% 66.17% 64.26% 66.17% No target Number of evictions where the tenant is still in situ 9.00% N/A N/A 0 1 0 0 1 No target	•		Availability of core systems	99.49%	99.75%	97%	98.84%	99.42%	99.61%	100.00%	99.71%	
Number of evictions where the tenant is still in situ 9.00% N/A N/A 0 1 0 0 1 No target	Corporate	Skylight / Customer Services		76.05%	N/A	N/A	67.78%	66.95%	66.17%	64.26%	66.17%	No target
	Corporate	Resources	Number of evictions where the tenant is still in situ	9.00%	N/A	N/A	0	1	0	0	1	No target

Tenar	nt Satisfaction Measures - Perception	Housemark pilot results (UPPER QUARTILE) (Jan 23)		May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Monthly trend
TP01	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied with the overall service from their landlord	85%	90.12%	94.32%	92.80%	92.78%	↓ -0.02%
TP02	Proportion of respondents who have received a repair in the last 12 months who report that they are satisfied with the overall repairs service	84.9%	87.04%	81.63%	83.45%	84.38%	↑ 0.93%
TP03	Proportion of respondents who have received a repair in the last 12 months who report that they are satisfied with the time taken to complete their most recent	78.5%	83.33%	81.63%	83.33%	83.75%	↑ 0.42%
TP04	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied that their home is well maintained	77.4%	86.25%	88.63%	89.36%	90.22%	↑ 0.86%
TP05	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied that their home is safe	87.1%	87.18%	88.63%	88.84%	90.15%	↑ 1.31%
TP06	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied that their landlord listens to tenants views and acts upon them	72%	91.02%	93.18%	91.59%	90.98%	↓ -0.61%
TP07	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied that their landlord keeps them informed about things that matter to them	82.3%	96.68%	97.59%	93.81%	94.36%	↑ 0.55%
TP08	Proportion of respondents who report that they agree their landlord treats them fairly and with respect	87.3%	98.73%	93.35%	96.04%	95.90%	↓ -0.14%
TP09	Proportion of respondents who report making a complaint in the last 12 months who are satisfied with their landlords approach to complaints handling	65.1%	60.00%	57.14%	83.33%	76.47%	↓ -6.86%
TP010	Proportion of residents with communal area who report that they are satisfied that their landlord keeps communal areas clean and well maintained	75.9%	90.70%	81.03%	84.29%	84.34%	→ 0.05%
TP011	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied that their landlord makes a positive contribution to the neighbourhood	69.1%	98.61%	96.15%	89.73%	90.49%	1 0.76%
TP012	Proportion of respondents who report that they are satisfied with their landlord's approach to handling anti-social behaviour	69%	90.00%	88.13%	83.83%	83.94%	↑ 0.11%

