

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

Date: **19/12/22** Surveyor: **Peter Pollard**

Tree Details

TPO Ref: **/** Tree/Group No: **/** Species **Scots Pine**

Owner (if known)

Location: **23 Offerton Road, Hazel Grove**

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition and suitability for TPO
Refer to guidance note for definitions

- 5) Good **Highly suitable**
- 3) Fair **Suitable**
- 1) Poor **Unlikely to be suitable**
- 0) Unsafe **Unsuitable**
- 0) Dead **Unsuitable**

Score & Notes

Suitable - 3

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO

Refer to "Species Guide" section in guidance note

- 5) 100+ **Highly suitable**
- 4) 40-100 **Very suitable**
- 2) 20-40 **Suitable**
- 1) 10-20 **Just suitable**
- 0) <10 **Unsuitable**

Score & Notes

40-100 - 4
ys

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features **Highly suitable**
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public **Suitable**
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only **Just suitable**
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty **Unlikely to be suitable**
- 1) Young, v small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size **Probably unsuitable**

Score and notes

Large + clearly visible - 4

Sub Tot - 11

d) Impact

- Do the tree/s protect wildlife habitat? YES NO
- Do the trees filter noise/light or dust? YES NO
- Is/are the tree/s worthy for their intrinsic beauty? YES NO
- Do the trees screen an eyesore/new development? YES NO
- Are the tree/s worthy for their contribution to the landscape? YES NO
- Photographs attached? YES NO

e) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify.

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable, historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score and notes

None of above - 1

Sub Tot - 12

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify: refer to Guidance note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

Score and notes

Resident proposes to remove, application made - 5

Sub tot - 17

Part 3: Public Interest

State whether there has been any public interest for this TPO

No of requests & notes

In reaction to TPO felling request by resident

Part 4: Decision Guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add scores for total

17

Decision

Merits, TPO