

<b>Application Reference</b>	<b>DC/084754</b>
<b>Location:</b>	White Nook, 61 Bridle Road, Woodford, Stockport, SK7 1QN
<b>PROPOSAL:</b>	First floor extension, increase in roof and eaves height and alterations to roof form. Front porch and part two storey, part single storey rear extension
<b>Type Of Application:</b>	Householder
<b>Registration Date:</b>	28.03.2022
<b>Expiry Date:</b>	20230619
<b>Case Officer:</b>	Osian Perks
<b>Applicant:</b>	Mr Alex Burgess
<b>Agent:</b>	Mr Barry Bradley

## **COMMITTEE STATUS**

The application has been brought to committee as a departure from the local plan. Should the Bramhall & Cheadle Hulme South Area Committee be minded to grant permission under the Delegation Agreement the application should be referred to the Planning & Highways Regulations Committee as the application is a departure from the Statutory Development Plan.

## **DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT**

Planning permission is sought for significant alterations to the application property, these include the increase in its ridge and eaves height, a part two storey & part single storey rear extension and a new front porch. The resulting property would have four bedrooms, two more than the existing property. The site is located in the green belt

The height of the eaves of the application property would be increased from approximately 2.8m to 5.3m and the roof height would be increased from approximately 7.3m to 8.2m in height. At first floor level, the rear extension would extend approximately 1.5m and at ground floor level it would extend 4.5m.

## **SITE AND SURROUNDINGS**

The application property is situated in a row of properties of varying size, design and age. Recent developments include the erection of two dwellings at the south end of the row granted permission at appeal in 2020 (DC/073788).

The application property has been previously extended and as a consequence of this, appears single storey at the front but two storey to the rear.

## **POLICY BACKGROUND**

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 ("PCPA 2004") requires that planning applications be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

### **The Development Plan includes-**

- Policies set out in the Stockport Unitary Development Plan Review adopted 31<sup>st</sup> May 2006 which have been saved by direction under paragraph 1(3) of Schedule 8 to the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004; &
- Policies set out in the Stockport Local Development Framework Core Strategy

## **Saved policies of the SUDP Review**

LCR1.1 Landscape Character Areas  
GBA1.2: Control of development in Green Belt  
GBA1.5: Residential development in Green Belt  
CDH 1.8: Residential extension

## **LDF Core Strategy/Development Management policies**

SD-2: Making improvements to existing dwellings  
SIE-1: Quality places

## **Woodford Neighbourhood Plan 2018-2033 (adopted in 2019)**

WNP DEV3: Extensions to existing dwellings  
WNP DEV4: Design of new development  
WNP ENV3: Protecting Woodford's natural features  
WNP ENV4: Supporting Biodiversity

## **Supplementary Planning Guidance**

Supplementary Planning Guidance does not form part of the Statutory Development Plan; nevertheless, it does provide non-statutory Council approved guidance that is a material consideration when determining planning applications.

'Extensions and Alterations to Dwellings' Supplementary Planning Document (adopted in February 2011) states that the issue of design is a highly important factor when the Council assesses proposals for extensions and alterations to a dwellings. The Council require all development to be designed to a high standard in order that it makes a positive contribution to the provision of an attractive built environment.

## **National Planning Policy Framework**

A Revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) issued by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) on 20<sup>th</sup> July 2021 replaced the previous revisions. The NPPF has not altered the fundamental legal requirement under Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 that decisions must be made in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations (such as the NPPF) indicate otherwise.

The NPPF representing the governments up-to-date planning policy which should be taken into account in dealing with applications focuses on achieving a lasting housing reform, facilitating the delivery of a greater number of homes, ensuring that we get planning for the right homes built in the right places of the right quality at the same time as protecting our environment. If decision takers choose not to follow the NPPF, then clear and convincing reasons for doing so are needed.

N.B. In respect of decision-taking the revised NPPF constitutes a "material consideration".

*Para.1 "The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these should be applied. It provides a framework within which locally-prepared plans for housing and other development can be produced"*

Para.2 *“Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise”.*

Para.7 *“The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. At a very high level, the objective of sustainable development can be summarised as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. At a similarly high level, members of the United Nations – including the United Kingdom – have agreed to pursue the 17 Global Goals for Sustainable Development in the period to 2030. These address social progress, economic well-being and environmental protection”.*

Para.8 *“Achieving sustainable development means that the planning system has three overarching objectives, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways (so that opportunities can be taken to secure net gains across each of the different objectives):*

- a) an economic objective*
- b) a social objective*
- c) an environmental objective”*

Para.11 *“Plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development.*

*For decision-taking this means:*

*c) approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or*

*d) where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:*

- i. the application of policies in this Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or*
- ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole”.*

Para.12 *“.....Where a planning application conflicts with an up-to-date development plan (including any neighbourhood plans that form part of the development plan), permission should not usually be granted. Local planning authorities may take decisions that depart from an up-to-date development plan, but only if material considerations in a particular case indicate that the plan should not be followed”.*

Para.38 *“Local planning authorities should approach decisions on proposed development in a positive and creative way..... Decision-makers at every level should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible”.*

Para.47 *“Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Decisions on applications should be made as quickly as possible, and within statutory timescales unless a longer period has been agreed by the applicant in writing”.*

Para.126 *“The creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, creates better places in which to live and work and*

*helps make development acceptable to communities. Being clear about design expectations, and how these will be tested, is essential for achieving this. So too is effective engagement between applicants, communities, local planning authorities and other interests throughout the process.”*

Para.134 “. *Development that is not well designed should be refused, especially where it fails to reflect local design policies and government guidance on design, taking into account any local design guidance and supplementary planning documents such as design guides and codes. Conversely, significant weight should be given to:*

*a) development which reflects local design policies and government guidance on design, taking into account any local design guidance and supplementary planning documents such as design guides and codes; and/or*

*b) outstanding or innovative designs which promote high levels of sustainability, or help raise the standard of design more generally in an area, so long as they fit in with the overall form and layout of their surroundings.”*

Para.137 “*The Government attaches great importance to Green Belts. The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and their permanence”.*

Para.147 “*Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances”.*

Para.148 “*When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt. “Very special circumstances” will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations”.*

Para.149 “*A local planning authority should regard the construction of new buildings as inappropriate in the Green Belt. Exceptions to this are:*

*c) the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building.”*

Para.157 states “*In determining planning applications, local planning authorities should expect new development to:*

*a) comply with any development plan policies on local requirements for decentralised energy supply unless it can be demonstrated by the applicant, having regard to the type of development involved and its design, that this is not feasible or viable; and*

*b) take account of landform, layout, building orientation, massing and landscaping to minimise energy consumption*

Para.219 “*Existing policies should not be considered out-of-date simply because they were adopted or made prior to the publication of this Framework. Due weight should be given to them, according to their degree of consistency with this Framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).*

## **Planning Practice Guidance**

The Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) is a web-based resource which brings together planning guidance on various topics into one place (launched in March 2014) and coincided

with the cancelling of the majority of Government Circulars which had previously given guidance on many aspects of planning.

## **PLANNING HISTORY**

<b>Application Number(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Decision</b>
J/70364	Extend roof to create loft accommodation and verandah at rear.	Granted 07/08/1998

## **NEIGHBOURS VIEWS**

The owners/occupiers of surrounding properties were notified of the application. No representations were received.

## **CONSULTEE RESPONSES**

Woodford Neighbourhood Forum: *'We have no comments on this application.'*

## **ANALYSIS**

### Design

CDH 1.8: Residential Extensions of the UDP Review states that extensions to residential properties are only permissible where they complement the existing dwelling in terms of design, scale and materials and do not adversely affect the character of the street scene.

Policy SIE-1 of the Core Strategy recognises that specific regard should be had to the site's context in relation to surrounding buildings and spaces.

The Council require all development to be designed to a high standard in order that it makes a positive contribution to the provision of an attractive built environment. This does not mean that a new development has to exactly replicate the style and character of the existing building or its locality, but it should be harmonious with what is already there. The character of an area is reflected in the layout, massing, scale, height, style and materials of buildings and the spaces around them.

Any extension or alteration to a property should typically:-

- Respect the form, shape, symmetry and proportions of the existing dwelling and compliment the character of the surrounding area (DESIGN)
- Generally appear subordinate in relation to the existing dwelling in terms of massing, scale and overall appearance (SCALE)
- Respect the architectural integrity of the existing dwelling. External materials and finishes should be durable and of good quality. They should be visually appropriate for their surroundings and sympathetic in terms of colour, texture and detail in relation to the existing dwelling (MATERIALS).

Special attention should be given to matters such as siting, scale, height, massing, detailed design and appropriate use of materials. The Council wishes to protect the boroughs buildings and residential areas from unsympathetic changes by ensuring that new extensions are designed with careful consideration given to their context.

Policy DEV3 'Extensions to Existing Dwellings' of the Woodford Neighbourhood Plan states "Residential extensions should be in keeping with the host property and its surroundings."

Policy DEV4: 'Design of New Development' of the Woodford Neighbourhood Plan states 'All new development in Woodford Neighbourhood Area should achieve a high standard of design. New residential development proposals should demonstrate how they respect and respond to the Neighbourhood Area's rural character, to its ecology and to its landscape. Where appropriate and viable, the development of sustainable drainage systems, the retention and enhancement of landscape, wildlife and ecological networks and the achievement of high environmental and energy standards will be supported.'

Whilst the appearance of the application property would be significantly altered as a consequence of the proposed development, it should be noted that the resultant dwelling would be similar in design, height, size and exterior materials to other properties within the street scene. As such, whilst not subservient to the existing dwelling, the development would appear sympathetic to and reflective of the character of built forms in the street scene more widely.

In view of the above, it is considered that the development would adequately accord with the visual amenity aims of UDP policy CDH1.8, Core Strategy policy SIE-1 and policies DEV3 and DEV4 of the WNP.

### Residential Amenity

CDH 1.8: Residential Extensions of the saved UDP states that extensions to residential properties are only permissible where they do not adversely cause damage to the amenity of neighbours by reason of overlooking, overshadowing, visual intrusion or loss of privacy. Extensions which cause an unacceptable loss of privacy or outlook to neighbouring properties, or look out of keeping with the character of the street, will be refused.

New extensions should not impose an unacceptable loss of privacy to the occupants of neighbouring dwellings. An unreasonable loss of privacy will often occur when windows of habitable room windows look into or overlook a principal window belonging to a habitable room of a neighbouring dwelling. A loss of privacy can also occur when windows look into or overlook private gardens belonging to a neighbouring dwelling.

Whilst the proposed development would extend a considerable distance beyond the rear elevation of the neighbouring no.63 Bridle Road (approximately 4m), the distance of separation between the two properties is also considerable (approximately 3.5m). Furthermore, the neighbouring no.63 is located to the south of the application site meaning that the erection of the proposed development is unlikely to lead to a loss of direct sunlight or cause a substantial amount of overshadowing. In light of this, it is considered that the development would not cause an unacceptable loss of light to, nor would it unduly visually intrude on outlooks from this neighbouring dwelling.

Turning attention to the neighbouring no.59, the extension would extend approximately 4.5m beyond the rear elevation of this neighbouring dwelling but would be separated from the centre of the nearest rear facing habitable room window (first floor bedroom) by approximately 5m. By virtue of its size, design and the distance of separation, it is not considered that the proposed extension would have an oppressive impact upon nor would it unduly overshadow the occupants of this neighbouring dwelling.

Side facing windows are proposed at first floor level. These could overlook the occupants of neighbouring dwellings, causing an unacceptable loss of privacy. To prevent this, it is

considered that a condition should be attached to any subsequent approval, requiring these windows to be obscurely glazed.

In view of the above, it is considered that the proposal would not unduly impact on the residential amenity of the surrounding properties in accordance with UDP policy CDH1.8 and Core Strategy policy SIE-1.

### Green Belt/ Landscape Character Area

The NPPF confirms that inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved other than in 'very special circumstances' (para 147). A local planning authority should regard the construction of new buildings as 'inappropriate' in the Green Belt; exceptions to this include amongst others, the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building (para 149c).

Saved UDP Policy GBA1.2 states that there is a presumption against the construction of new buildings within the Green Belt unless it is for certain purposes, including limited extension and alterations to existing dwellings.

Saved UDP policy GBA1.5 states that proposals relating to existing residential uses may be permitted in certain cases, including alterations and extensions where the scale, character and appearance of the property would not be significantly changed.

The interpretation of significant change will vary according to the character of the property but as a general guideline, extensions which increase the volume of the original dwelling by more than about one third are unlikely to be acceptable.

The material test to the acceptability of proposals within the Green Belt is the impact of the siting, size and scale of the proposal on the character and appearance of the dwelling and on the overall openness of the Green Belt.

Based upon the information submitted by the applicant and a review of these by the Case Officer, the following volume of the original dwelling and proposed dwelling are considered to be approximately as follows:

Original dwelling = 399.4m<sup>3</sup>

Proposed dwelling = 792.9m<sup>3</sup> (including original dwelling, proposed works and minus proposed demolitions)

Proposed volume = 98.5% volume of the original house

In this respect, the volume of the proposed extensions would clearly exceed the one-third increase in volume referenced in policy GBA1.5. The proposal would represent inappropriate development within the Green Belt by virtue of a disproportionate addition.

Where development is considered inappropriate, the NPPF advises that it should only be granted where 'Very Special Circumstances' exist. The material test to the acceptability of proposals within the Green Belt is the impact of the siting, size and scale of the proposal on the character and appearance of the dwelling and on the overall openness of the Green Belt.

It is considered there are 'Very Special Circumstances' to justify the scheme, the conclusions of which are provided below;

- The property has permitted development rights and this provides a fallback position for development. Permitted development rights are intact and represent a realistic fall back position.
- The property is located in ribbon development, it is not an isolated dwelling, and other neighbouring houses have been extended significantly.
- The proposed height would be no higher than neighbouring dwellings.
- The design, scale, materials, character, appearance and proportions of the proposed development would be sympathetic to that of surrounding built forms.
- The proposal would not unduly impact on the residential privacy or amenity of any surrounding property.
- The proposed extensions do not significantly harm the openness of the Green Belt due to the above factors.

As explained above, the property benefits from full permitted development rights. Such rights could be used to build outbuildings of a considerable size within the rear garden area, which could by virtue of their siting, and potential size (maximum height of 3m and width and length over 10m), have a significant adverse impact upon the openness of the green belt. If this application is approved, a condition could be attached to the decision which removes permitted development rights. This would prevent future development on the site having significant adverse impacts upon green belt openness.

Having regard to the above, it is concluded that whilst the proposal would have some spatial impact upon the openness of the Green Belt, any visual impact would be limited and not unacceptable. It is considered that very special circumstances have been demonstrated and whilst the development remains inappropriate in the Green Belt, in accordance with para's 157 and 148 of the NPPF, the development is recommended for approval.

As the proposal represents a departure from the Development Plan in relation to saved UDP Review policies GBA1.2 and GBA1.5, in the event that Members agree the recommendation to grant planning permission, the application must be referred to the Planning & Highways Committee for a decision.

Saved Policy LCR1.1 of the UDP stipulates that development in the countryside will not be permitted unless it protects and enhances the quality and character of the rural area. Development should be sited sensitively and designed in a manner sympathetic to its surroundings. By virtue of its context and design, described above, it is considered that the proposal would accord with this policy.

### Highways

Following the completion of the development, parking spaces for at least two cars would remain within the front driveway which is considered adequate and in accordance with local guidance. Therefore the proposal is considered acceptable in terms of highways and parking.

### Summary

The general design of the proposed development is considered acceptable in terms of its relationship to the existing dwelling, the character of the street scene and the visual amenity of the area in accordance with UDP policy CDH1.8, Core Strategy policy SIE-1 and policies DEV 3 and DEV4 of the WNP.



The proposal would not unduly impact on the residential amenity and privacy of the surrounding properties and would comply with UDP policy CDH1.8 and Core Strategy policy SIE-1.

Other material considerations such as the Extensions and Alterations to Dwellings SPD and the NPPF have also been considered and it is judged the proposal also does comply with the content of these documents.

By definition the proposal constitutes inappropriate development, however, it is considered that the case for very special circumstances is sufficient to outweigh harm by reason of inappropriateness. On balance the proposal amounts to Sustainable Development, consequently it is recommended that permission be granted subject to appropriate planning conditions.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

Grant

## **UPDATE FOLLOWING BRAMHALL AND CHEADLE HULME SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE 22<sup>ND</sup> JUNE 2023**

The Planning Officer introduced the application. The Planning Agent spoke in support of the application. Nobody spoke in opposition to the application. The committee resolved to recommend that permission was granted.