AGENDA ITEM

STOCKPORT COUNCIL EXECUTIVE REPORT – SUMMARY SHEET

Subject: Objection Report - Plane Tree Close, Marple: Proposed Traffic Regulation Order 'No Waiting At Any Time'	
Report to: (a) Marple Area Committee 2023	Date: Wednesday, 21 June
Report of: (b) Joint report of the Director for Place Director Legal & Democratic Governance	Management and the Assistant
Key Decision: (c) NO / YES (Please	se circle)
Forward Plan General Exception Special	Urgency (Tick box)
Summary:	
To report the objections made in relation to the prop Waiting At Any Time' on Plane Tree Close, Marple.	
Recommendation(s):	
That the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) be made a	s originally advertised
Relevant Scrutiny Committee (if decision called in Communities & Housing Scrutiny Committee	n): (d)
Background Papers (if report for publication): (e)	
Agenda Item 8 – Proposed Traffic Regulation Order 'No Waiting At Any Time' Drive	- Plane Tree Close, Wood Lane and Woodville
Contact person for accessing background papers and discussing the report	Officer: Zoe Allan zoe.allan@stockport.gov.uk
'Urgent Business': (f) YES / NO (please	circle)
Certification (if applicable)	
This report should be considered as 'urgent busines' (call-in' for the following reason(s):	ss' and the decision exempted from
The written consent of Councillor Officer/Borough Treasurer for the decision to be tre on /will be obtained before the	

Objection Report - Plane Tree Close, Marple: Proposed Traffic Regulation Order 'No Waiting At Any Time'

<u>Joint report of the Director for Place Management and</u> the Assistant Director Legal & Democratic Governance

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 This report is to advise committee members of an objection/s received to a proposed introduction of a 'No Waiting at Any Time' Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) on Plane Tree Close, Marple.
- 1.2 To ensure that objections to the permanent Traffic Regulation Order are appropriately and efficiently considered.

1. INFORMATION AND ADVICE

1.1. In considering the objection the Area Committee should be mindful that unless otherwise authorised, the only right the general public has over the highway is a right of passage along it. The Authority has both a duty of care to ensure the safety of the travelling public and a duty under the Traffic Management Act 2004 to secure and facilitate the expeditious movement of traffic.

2. OBJECTIONS AND COMMENTS

2.1. The specific objections and points contained within each letter have been analysed and detailed below together with the response.

(i) Objection 1 reason:

Objector states that the proposed yellow lines should be extended further up Wood Lane.

Objector states that the junctions of Cross Lane / Buxton Lane / Wood Lane/ Peacefield should be redesigned to incorporate traffic calming measures to prevent speeding and allow better access for walkers and cyclists.

Response

The extent of the proposed double yellow lines was determined from both on-site investigations and taking into account Rule 243 of the Highway Code which states, do not stop of park on a bend and further states do not stop or park within 10 metres of a junction. Sometimes an extension to the 10 metre rule maybe required due to the kerb radius, as is the case in this instance.

At this present time, we would not recommend any extension to the proposed double yellow lines as this would result in the loss of unrestricted parking for residents and their visitors.

Network Management like to see a scheme in place for 6 months before carrying out a review. Assuming this scheme is passed, Network Management will monitor the location and if it is evidenced further restrictions are required, will carry out another consultation with the affected properties at that time.

Changes to the road layout or implementation of traffic calming measures are not within the remit of this scheme.

3. PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE ORDER

3.1. No amendments are proposed.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1. There are no financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report other than central officer time.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1. The Council has a statutory duty to ensure that its highways operate safely for the safe passage of all traffic including pedestrians and powers to regulate and restrict traffic to assist in that duty.

6. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 6.1. To comply with the Local Authorities' Traffic Orders, Regulations 1996 the Authority must consider all objections submitted during the consultation period of at least 21 days before 'Making' a Traffic Regulation Order.
- 6.2. The Committee should make a decision in respect of the objection/s received so that the scheme can be progressed and the No Waiting at Any Time (double yellow line) restrictions introduced or abandoned.

7. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

7.1. The alternative to the proposals laid out in this report is to continue with the current lack of restriction on the highway by not introducing the proposed traffic regulation orders.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1. It is recommended that:
- 8.2. the Area Committee and Cabinet Member for Highways, Parks & Leisure Services note all Traffic Regulation Orders where objections have been considered by officers;
- 8.3. the Area Committee and the Cabinet Member for Highways, Parks & Leisure Services resolve that the Traffic Regulation Order be made as originally advertised.
- 8.4. That the objectors are informed of the decision.

Background Papers

Agenda Item 8 - <u>Proposed Traffic Regulation Order 'No Waiting At Any Time' - Plane Tree Close, Wood Lane and Woodville Drive</u>

Anyone wishing further information please contact Zoe Allan by email on zoe.allan@stockport.gov.uk