

## **BRAMHALL & CHEADLE HULME SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE**

Meeting: 1 December 2022

At: 6.30 pm

### PRESENT

Councillor Suzanne Wyatt (Chair) in the chair; Councillor Frankie Singleton (Vice-Chair); Councillors Brian Bagnall, Helen Foster-Grime, Linda Holt, Mark Hunter, Mike Hurleston and Ian Powney.

### **1. MINUTES**

The Minutes (copies of which had been circulated) of the meeting held on 20 October 2022 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

### **2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillors and officers were invited to declare any interests which they had in any of the items on the agenda for the meeting.

The following interest was declared:-

#### Personal Interest

#### Councillor

#### Interest

Mark Hunter

Item 8 'Creating Strong, Vibrant and Healthy District Centres – Bramhall and Cheadle Hulme' as his wife works for Manchester Metropolitan University, which is the base for the Institute of Place Management who produced the report.

### **3. URGENT DECISIONS**

No urgent decisions were reported.

### **4. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

#### (i) Chair's Announcements

The Chair reported that the following events were due to take place within the Bramhall & Cheadle Hulme South area and encouraged residents to attend:-

- Carol Concert in Oak Meadow on 10<sup>th</sup> December
- Final market of the year in Bramhall on 10<sup>th</sup> December

(ii) Neighbourhood Policing

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) reported that no member of the local police force had been available to attend this meeting of the Area Committee.

(iii) Public Question Time

Members of the public were invited to put questions to the Chair on any matters in the powers or duties of the Area Committee, subject to the exclusions set out within the Code of Practice.

No public questions were submitted.

(iv) Petitions

No petitions were submitted.

(v) Open Forum

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) reported that no organisations had indicated that they wished to address the Area Committee as part of the Open Forum arrangements.

(vi) Ward Flexibility Funding - Bee Sober CIC

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) submitted an application received on behalf of Bee Sober CIC for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of organising walk and talk events, supplying refreshments, and the printing of leaflets and posters to increase awareness to support those in recovery.

RESOLVED – That consideration of this item be deferred until the next meeting of the Area Committee, at the request of the applicant.

(vii) Ward Flexibility Funding - Brazilian Festival

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) submitted an application received on behalf of Brazilian Festival for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of running a Brazilian themed festival providing authentic Brazilian traders of food, drink, and crafts to the local community.

RESOLVED – That consideration of this item be deferred until a later meeting of the Area Committee at which the applicant could attend the meeting.

(viii) Ward Flexibility Funding - Drawing Board Productions CIC

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) submitted an application received on behalf of Drawing Board Productions CIC for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of publishing an arts and culture

printed supplement for Stockport residents which will include poems, interviews from artists and a list of upcoming events.

RESOLVED - That consideration of this item be deferred until a later meeting of the Area Committee at which the applicant could attend the meeting.

(ix) Ward Flexibility Funding - Drawing Board Productions Bramhall Post

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) submitted an application received on behalf of Drawing Board Productions CIC for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of setting up Bramhall Post, which is a free newspaper covering Bramhall and Woodford which aims to help residents stay informed and connected by providing a printed platform for local organisations to share their news and information.

RESOLVED – That this application be withdrawn at the request of the applicant.

(x) Ward Flexibility Funding - Friends of Highfield Orchard

A representative of the Friends of Highfield Orchard attended the meeting and submitted an application for a grant of the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of repairing the notice board sign which has become damaged over recent years, correct other local signs, and to obtain hi vis vests and logoed shirts for the volunteers of the group.

RESOLVED - That approval be given to an application from Friends of Highfield Orchard for a grant of £500 from the Ward Flexibility Budget (Cheadle Hulme South ward allocation only) towards the cost of repairing the notice board sign which has become damaged over recent years, correct other local signs, and to obtain hi vis vests and logoed shirts for the volunteers of the group.

(xi) Ward Flexibility Funding - Stockport Allergy & Asthma Parents

A representative of Stockport Allergy & Asthma Parents attended the meeting and submitted an application for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of running a playgroup, to support parents with children who have food and environmental allergies. The funding would help pay for the room hire, drinks, snacks, and toys.

RESOLVED - That approval be given to an application from Stockport Allergy & Asthma Parents for a grant of £550 from the Ward Flexibility Budget (£300 from Bramhall South & Woodford and £250 from Cheadle Hulme South ward allocations) towards the cost of running a playgroup, to support parents with children who have food and environmental allergies. The funding would help pay for the room hire, drinks, snacks, and toys.

(xii) Ward Flexibility Funding - Bramhall Together Trust

A representative of Bramhall Together Trust attended the meeting and submitted an application for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of running Light Up Bramhall, a large event for the whole community to meet, shop, eat and drink.

RESOLVED - That approval be given to an application from Bramhall Together Trust for a grant of £800 from the Ward Flexibility Budget (£100 from Bramhall North, £600 from Bramhall South & Woodford and £100 from Cheadle Hulme South ward allocations) towards the cost of running Light Up Bramhall, a large event for the whole community to meet, shop, eat and drink.

(xiii) Ward Flexibility Funding - Cheshire Regiment Association (CRA) (Stockport Branch)

(NOTE: The Chair was of the opinion that this item, although not included on the agenda, should be considered as a matter of urgency in accordance with Section 100B(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) in order that the Area Committee could consider the application in advance of the proposed event taking place).

A representative of Cheshire Regiment Association attended the meeting and submitted an application for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget towards the cost of travel and hotel expenses for 4 members of the organisation who were attending the 40<sup>th</sup> anniversary commemoration service of the Droppin Well bombing in Ballykelly, Northern Ireland.

RESOLVED - That approval be given to an application received from Cheshire Regiment Association for a grant from the Ward Flexibility Budget of £63.16 (£31.58 from Bramhall South & Woodford and £31.58 from Cheadle Hulme South ward allocations) towards the cost of travel and hotel expenses for 4 members of the organisation who were attending the 40<sup>th</sup> anniversary commemoration service of the Droppin Well bombing in Ballykelly, Northern Ireland.

## **5. DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS**

Development applications were submitted.

(NOTE: Full details of the decisions including conditions and reasons for granting or refusing planning permission and imposing conditions are given in the schedule of plans. The Corporate Director for Place Management & Regeneration is authorised to determine conditions and reasons and they are not therefore referred to in committee minutes unless the committee makes a specific decision on a condition or reason. In order to reduce printing costs and preserve natural resources, the schedule of plans is not reproduced within these minutes. A copy of the schedule of plans is available on the council's website at [www.stockport.gov.uk/planningdecisions](http://www.stockport.gov.uk/planningdecisions). Copies of the schedule of plans, or any part thereof, may be obtained from the Place Directorate upon payment of the Council's reasonable charges).

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) outlined the procedure for public speaking on planning applications.

(i) DC/083719 - 378 Chester Road, Woodford, Stockport

In respect of plan no. DC/083719 for a single storey rear extension at 378 Chester Road, Woodford, it was

RESOLVED – That the Planning & Highways Regulation Committee be recommended to grant planning permission.

(ii) DC084618 - Bridle Road, Woodford, Stockport

In respect of plan no. DC/084618 for the erection of two detached dwellings with associated access and landscaping at Bridle Road, Woodford,

a member of the public spoke against the application; and

a representative of the applicant spoke in support of the application.

It was then

RESOLVED – That planning permission be refused on the grounds that the proposed development was harmful to the openness of the Green Belt and due to proposed residential purposes, would not be for one of the excepted categories within Saved Policy GBA1.5 'Residential Development in Green Belt'.

(iii) DC084948 - 130 Moor Lane, Woodford, Stockport

In respect of plan no. DC/084948 for the erection of a greenhouse and new sunken patio areas (Retrospective application) at 130 Moor Lane, Woodford,

a representative of the applicant spoke in support of the application.

It was then

RESOLVED - That the Planning & Highways Regulation Committee be recommended to grant planning permission.

(iv) DC085887 - Land South of Chester Road, Woodford

In respect of plan no. DC/085887 for the erection of a two-storey mixed-use local centre development comprising a convenience store and flexible commercial floorspace (Use Class E) at ground floor level and 6 no. two-bedroom apartments (Use Class C3) at first floor level, together with access, car parking, hard and soft landscaping, drainage infrastructure and other associated works, at Land South of Chester Road, Woodford,

a representative of the applicant spoke in support of the application.

It was then

RESOLVED – That consideration of the application be deferred until a later meeting of the Area Committee.

## **6. PLANNING APPEAL, ENFORCEMENT APPEALS AND ENFORCEMENT NOTICES**

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) summarising recent

appeal decisions; listing current planning appeals and dates for local enquiries; informal hearings for planning appeals, and enforcement action within the Bramhall & Cheadle Hulme South Area.

RESOLVED – That the report be noted.

### **7. APPLICATION FOR A STREET TRADING CONSENT TO BE GRANTED AT WOODFORD COMMUNITY CENTRE**

A representative of the Director Place Management submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing an application from Mr Peter Ogbivere of Cocoz for a street trading consent to be issued at Woodford Community Centre on a Friday and Saturday evening from 1700 hours to 2200 hours.

A member of the public spoke against the application; and

a representative of Woodford Community Centre and a representative of the applicant spoke in support of the application.

The following comments were then made/issues raised:-

- Members questioned whether the consent would be time limited if it was granted. It was commented that the consent would be time-limited to 12 months.
- It was questioned what enforcement powers the Council would have should the consent be granted. It was commented that ongoing non-compliance with the terms of a grant could ultimately result in the consent being revoked by the Council.
- It was noted that other locations had been considered for the applicant business. Members noted their support for the current location at Woodford Community Centre in comparison to the previously suggested locations.
- Overall, Members noted the objections, but considered that the enforcement action available to the Council and support for the applicant from Woodford Community Centre outweighed this.

RESOLVED – That the application for a street trading consent be approved.

### **8. CREATING STRONG, VIBRANT AND HEALTHY DISTRICT CENTRES - BRAMHALL AND CHEADLE HULME**

A representative of the Director of Development & Regeneration submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) providing an update on the Council's partnership with the Institute of Place Management in supporting the development of Bramhall and Cheadle Hulme District Centres.

The following comments were made/issues raised:-

- It was commented that successful workshops have been undertaken involving key stakeholders in Bramhall and Cheadle Hulme. Officers have been involved with various events across these district centres to encourage local businesses to engage with community projects, improve disability access and to encourage sustainability via projects such as solar panel installation.

- The next steps for the local districts were to develop Action Plans and Visionary Groups involving local stakeholders to improve the district centres as community centres and to increase footfall.
- Members thanked officers for their work on this report and for their ongoing support of the local district centres.
- It was commented that re-development may be beneficial for the local district centres due to their age. However, it was noted that the precincts in Bramhall and Cheadle Hulme were privately owned, and that therefore there was limited action available to the Council in encouraging re-development of these areas.
- Officers commented that the intention of the report was to connect the dots that already existed between local stakeholders to create more cohesive and successful district centres.
- Members suggested that the Institute of Place Management would not be required for further consultation work, as much of the information included could be ascertained from local stakeholders directly rather than through an external consultant.

RESOLVED – (1) That the report be noted.

(2) That the District Centre Manager, in collaboration with local stakeholders, be authorised to develop an Action Plan for Bramhall and Cheadle Hulme District Centre based on the recommendations in the Institute of Place Management report.

(3) That the District Centre Manager be requested to report progress on delivering the Action Plan to the Area Committee on a quarterly basis.

## **9. MAYOR'S CYCLING AND WALKING CHALLENGE FUND - BRAMHALL PARK TO A6**

A representative of the Director Place Management submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) providing an update to the proposed introduction of the Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs), associated with the Bramhall Park to A6 walking and cycling scheme.

Members questioned why there had been no public consultation on the scheme to date. It was commented that residents would be notified and have 21 days to make any objections during the advertisement period for the TRO. If any objections were received, these would be brought back to the Area Committee within an objection report.

RESOLVED – That the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration be recommended to give approval to the implementation of the proposed measures and the legal advertising of the Traffic Regulation Orders contained in Appendix B, and subject to no objections being received within 21 days from the advertisement date, the subsequent making of the orders to be funded from the Mayoral Challenge Fund.

## **10. ITB TURVES ROAD PUFFIN CROSSING**

A representative of the Director Place Management submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing the findings of a consultation exercise in relation to the proposed provision of a new Puffin Crossing on Turves Road in Cheadle Hulme to help improve the safety of the public while crossing Turves Road.

The following comments were made/issues raised:-

- Members welcomed the report and the action being taken at this location and requested an update as soon as possible once work had begun so that residents could be updated.
- Ward Members welcomed this report as it had been requested for some time. It was noted that a near-miss accident had led to the pressure from residents to improve crossing accessibility.
- Members expressed concern with the second request in the report and asked that, should there be any issue with the proposed site, this should be reported directly to Ward Members rather than to immediately launch a consultation for a secondary site.
- It was commented that the secondary site that had been proposed, was due to the limits on the funding that necessitated the spending of the funds within the current financial year.

RESOLVED – That the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration be recommended to give approval to the implementation of the crossing scheme (Henley Avenue – Eastings Close), as shown on the revised proposals drawing (Drawing. No. F/5210/07/TR/001 Rev C) in Appendix B, and to progress the establishment notice via Legal Services (as described within Appendix A).

#### **11. PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER 'NO WAITING AT ANY TIME' ON ACK LANE WEST, CHEADLE HULME**

A representative of the Director of Place Management submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing the findings of consultation exercise and seeking approval for the introduction of a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) 'No Waiting at Any Time'.

RESOLVED – That the Cabinet Member for Highways, Parks & Leisure Services be recommended to give approval to the legal advertising of the following Traffic Regulation Order and, subject to no objections being received within 21 days from the advertisement date, the subsequent making of the order at a cost of £1,000 to be funded from the Area Committee's Delegated Budget (Bramhall South & Woodford ward allocation):-

##### Revocation of existing Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) Schedule

###### No Waiting at Any Time

Ack Lane West, Cheadle Hulme (North East Side) From a point 25 metres north west of the projected northerly kerb line of Hollythorn Avenue, to a point 30 metres south east of the projected southerly kerb line of Hollythorn Avenue.

##### Proposed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) Schedule

###### No Waiting at Any Time

Ack Lane West, Cheadle Hulme (North East Side) From a point 35 metres north west of the projected northerly kerb line of Hollythorn Avenue, to a point 30 meters south east of the projected southerly kerb line of Hollythorn Avenue.



## **12. PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER - FIR ROAD, BRAMHALL**

A representative of the Director Place Management submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing the findings of consultation exercise and seeking approval for the introduction of a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) 'No Waiting at Any Time and x2 'Disabled Parking Bays'.

RESOLVED – That approval be given to the legal advertising of the following Traffic Regulation Orders and subject to no objections being received within 21 days from the advertisement date, the subsequent making of the order at a cost of £2,110 to be funded from the Mayoral Challenge Fund:-

### Revocation of existing Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) Schedule

#### 'No Waiting At Any Time'

Fir Road, Bramhall (East Side)

From the intersection of the south east kerb line of Brookdale Road for a distance of 20 metres in a southerly direction.

Fir Road, Bramhall (East Side)

From the intersection of the north east kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 17 metres in a northerly direction.

### Proposed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO)

#### 'No Waiting At Any Time'

Fir Road, Bramhall (East Side)

From the intersection of the south east kerb line of Brookdale Road to the intersection of the north east kerb line of Waterloo Road.

#### 'Parking for Disabled Badge Holders Only' (all times)

Fir Road, Bramhall (West Side)

From a point 20 meters south of the intersection of the projected southern kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 6.6 metres in a southerly direction.

Fir Road, Bramhall (West Side)

From a point 22.5 meters north of the intersection of the projected northern kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 6.6 metres in a northerly direction.

**13. THE CREATION OF A PUBLIC PATH OVER UNREGISTERED LAND IN CHEADLE HULME, BETWEEN MARLOW CLOSE AND FOOTPATH 64 CHEADLE AND GATLEY.**

A representative of the Transport Strategy Manager submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) detailing a proposal to create a public footpath between Marlow Close and footpath 64 Cheadle and Gatley, near Willow Ave Cheadle Hulme.

It was queried whether a survey had been undertaken on this scheme and whether there were any associated costs to the Ward Delegated Budget. It was understood by some Members that funding would not be taken from the Delegated Budget but that this could be clarified with officers following the meeting, as Ward Members had requested and were happy with the proposals.

RESOLVED – That approval be given to the creation of the footpath marked A-B at this location (to be numbered footpath 64a Cheadle and Gatley) by order under section 26 Highways Act 1980.

**14. PROGRESS ON AREA COMMITTEE DECISIONS**

A representative of the Assistant Director – Legal & Democratic Governance (Monitoring Officer) submitted a report (copies of which had been circulated) providing an update on progress since the last meeting on decisions taken by the Area Committee and detailing the current position on area flexibility funding. The report also included the current position on the highway and traffic management delegated budget.

RESOLVED – That the report be noted.

The meeting closed at 9.46 pm