SEVERITY

	ACCEPTABLE	TOLERABLE	UNDESIRABLE	INTOLERABLE
	LITTLE TO NO EFFECT ON SCHOOL / PLACES / PROVISION	NOT CRITICAL TO	OPERATION OF SCHOOL / TYPE OF	COULD RESULT IN CLOSURE OF SCHOOL, LOSS OF ACCESIBLE SCHOOL PLACES AND/OR INJURY TO STAFF/CHILDREN
IMPROBABLE	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH
RISK IS UNLIKELY TO OCCUR	-1-	- 4 -	-6-	– 10 –
POSSIBLE	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	EXTREME
RISK WILL LIKELY OCCUR	-2-	- 5 -	- 8 -	– 11 –
PROBABLE	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH	EXTREME
RISK WILL OCCUR	-3-	-7-	-9-	– 12 –

LIKELIHOOD

Example

School x and y are with in the same place planning area.

School x

Priority	Context	Risk Score
Ensuring our school buildings are safe for staff and children (Estates sub-group)	There are no health and safety issues	1
2. Ensuring our physical capacity remains in adequate condition to ensure school places are accessible (Estates sub-group)	The school has a heating system which is old, inefficient and has failed 2 times in the last 18 months causing the school to close temporarily.	12
3. Resolving under/oversupply of school places (Sufficiency and Admissions subgroup)	The school sits within a planning area 1 which has a total surplus of 0.5%. This falls short of the 6-8% required.	11
Adapting our existing schools to aid operation and efficiency (Education Improvement and Inclusion sub-group)	The locality where this school sits has high numbers of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Classrooms in the school have poor light levels and acoustics. The school has no withdrawal space.	8
	The school despite having good leadership is struggling to raise the pupils attainment	

5. Adapting our existing schools to meet local need (Education Pillar)	The majority of children living in this planning area attend schools within the same planning area.	1
Total		33

School y

Priority	Context	Risk Score
1. Ensuring our school buildings are safe for staff and children (Estates sub-group)	There are no health and safety issues	1
2. Ensuring our physical capacity remains in adequate condition to ensure school places are accessible (Estates sub-group)	The school is in good condition	1
3. Resolving under/oversupply of school places (Sufficiency and Admissions subgroup)	The school sits within a planning area 1 which has a total surplus of 0.5%. This falls short of the 6-8% required.	11
Adapting our existing schools to aid operation and efficiency (Education Improvement and Inclusion sub-group)	The locality where this school sits has high numbers of children with autism spectrum disorder. The school has limited withdrawal space which it is having to timetable to ensure best use	5
5. Adapting our existing schools to meet local need (Education Pillar)	The majority of children living in this planning area attend schools within the same planning area.	1
		19

School x demonstrates a greater risk/impact score and as such will be prioritized for investment over school y.