## OBJECTION REPORT - MAYOR'S CYCLING AND WALKING CHALLENGE FUND, BRAMHALL PARK TO A6 - TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS

Joint report of the Corporate Director for Place Management & Regeneration and the Head of Legal, Democratic Governance and Estate & Asset Management

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1. This report is to advise the Committee of objections received to the proposed introduction of the following Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs), associated with the Bramhall Park to A6 walking and cycling scheme, and to seek approval for the introduction of some of the Traffic Regulation Orders as advertised.
  - ZA/1976 FIR ROAD, BRAMHALL, ARUNDEL AVENUE & JACKSONS LANE, HAZEL GROVE (PROHIBITION AND LIMITED WAITING) (REVOCATION) ORDER 2020
  - ZA/1977 ASHBOURNE ROAD & OTHER ROADS, HAZEL GROVE (20MPH SPEED LIMIT) ORDER 2020
  - ZA/1978 JACKSONS LANE LAY-BY, HAZEL GROVE (CONTROL PARK ZONE FOR VEHICLES EXCEEDING 5 TONNES) ORDER 2020
  - ZA/1979 NOTICE OF ESTABLISHMENT OF TOUCAN CROSSINGS AT BRIDGE LANE, JACKSONS LANE AND DEAN LANE, HAZEL GROVE
  - ZA/1982 NOTICE OF PROPOSAL TO INSTALL TRAFFIC CALMING ON MILL LANE, HAZEL GROVE
- 1.2. This report is to ensure that objections to the permanent Traffic Regulation Orders are appropriately considered.

#### 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 2.1. The Bramhall Park to A6 walking and cycling scheme was subject to a large-scale public consultation in autumn 2019, having been submitted for funding by the Greater Manchester Mayor's Cycling and Walking Challenge Fund (MCF).
- 2.2. The scheme is an approximately 4km long cycle link between Bramhall Park and the former A6 at 'Simpson's Corner' in Hazel Grove. It is part of the Stockport East West Cycle Route that it is intended will eventually extend from High Lane to Gatley. It will link residential areas in Hazel Grove and Bramhall with Hazel Grove Leisure Centre, Bramhall High School, Bramhall Park and Hazel Grove High School.
- 2.3. Leaflets were sent to 30,000 properties across Stockport targeting locations in proximity to various walking and cycling proposals. To further encourage involvement in the consultation, 2,145 of the leaflets were accompanied by a letter to properties in closer proximity to the Bramhall Park to A6 scheme.
- 2.4. Additional methods of communication to direct potential respondents to the scheme information and consultation included road signs at targeted locations, posters on lamp columns and at local amenities in proximity to each scheme, a press release and social media schedule. Key stakeholders were also identified and engaged.

2.5. The results of the consultation were presented at Area Committees in January 2020 and subsequently approved for implementation by the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration, including Legal advertisement of the associated Traffic Regulation Orders.

#### 3. OBJECTIONS, OFFICER COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1. The Orders were advertised for a 21-day period, this included letter drops to local properties and Legal Notices being placed on street. The draft Orders were available on the Council website, together with plans and statements of reason for proposing to make the Orders.
- 3.2. The Legal Notices are included at **Appendix A** with associated drawings.
- 3.3. Notably Order ZA/1976 was initially advertised in March 2020, this has been repeated in recognition of the impact of Covid-19 restrictions on the advertisement. Responses from the initial advertisement have been included in this report.
- 3.4. Specific objections and points raised within each response to the advertisements are summarised below, alongside Officer comments.

#### ZA/1976 - FIR ROAD, BRAMHALL, ARUNDEL AVENUE & JACKSONS LANE, HAZEL GROVE (PROHIBITION AND LIMITED WAITING) (REVOCATION) ORDER 2020

#### **Objections and Officer Comments**

Green.

A total of 12 responses were received with reference to the advertised Order, one of which signed for and on behalf of 13 business owners, managers and employees of the Fir Road trading community.

#### **Officer Comment** Objection General / Wider Scheme Waste of tax-payers money and will make no difference to The Order is part of the wider road safety, will increase pollution and will cost a lot to install scheme subject to a large-scale and maintain over the next 25 years. Does not agree with public consultation in autumn 2019. removal of the trees for cycle lanes nobody will use. Bridge The results of the consultation were presented at Area Committees in Lane / Jacksons Lane is a nice urban road that will be turned into a third rate industrial area with pink and blue roads, signs January 2020, supported by Local & crossing lights. Grant Shapps has voiced concern for the Councillors and approved for misuse of funds for cycling schemes. implementation by the Cabinet Does not feel like children will use the new cycle path as they Member for Economy & would have to cross the road twice to get to school. Regeneration, including Legal Lone leisure / commuter cyclists use the road or footpath but advertisement of associated Traffic Regulation Orders. mainly road as it's not busy. Club cyclists (main users of this route) always use the road This scheme is designed to be fully and do not use cycle lanes on Bridge Lane, or new cycle lane inclusive, aimed at encouraging alongside the A555. alternative forms of travel including Present proposal of segregated cycle way on south side of walking and cycling by a variety of Jacksons Lane is dangerous and will not be used – passes 17 users. It was designed to relevant drives & farm entrance, requires crossing twice to get to standards and recommendations. school from Arundel Road / Wimpy / Bramhall Moor Lane estates. Children getting lunch at Dorchester Parade will have It is supported by a Business Case to cross the road twice. Two toucan crossings is not feasible which demonstrates value for for cyclists. Cyclists will prefer to use the road than the cycle money. way. Two toucan crossings will hold up buses getting in and out of school and parents who park in the lay by, slow traffic at The different coloured hatch used on school times causing pollution & traffic. the plans was to highlight different Would prefer if the footpaths were wider and were all shared features of the route, it is not footway/cycleways on both sides of the road. Safer for intended to use pink/blue surface children, main traffic flow will be maintained, better access to finishes – it will be finished in leisure cyclists. standard highway materials. The design of the scheme has been The removal of 'green space' in the form of a grass verge will subject to surveys and assessment further contribute to the now regular flooding of Bramhall

in respect of connectivity into

existing drainage.

#### Fir Road The Fir Road shared route is very important to the overall aims of the wider scheme, which has Cabinet Member approval. The facility will The shared cycle way and footpath will be dangerous for all provide a safe off-carriageway route users especially around the start and end of the school day. for cyclists and pedestrians on a This proposed cycle way and footpath (Fir Road) appear to be section of Fir Road which is heavily a 'stand-alone' approximately 80m of the proposed MCF trafficked at peak hours. The waiting Bramhall to A6 cycle path. Sheet 11 of the plan shows a restrictions will prevent vehicles proposed cycle pathway from Bramhall High School to Bridge parking half on/half off the footway Lane. This makes a short, shared cycle way and footpath with and verge which is inconsiderate and all its detrimental implications to the businesses and inherent illegal, impeding pedestrians and dangers to pedestrians and cyclists on Fir Road completely vulnerable users, and causing unnecessary. The loss of 80m at one end of the cycle way damage to the verge. Bollards will cannot be that significant to the overall plan especially when protect the footway from vehicle considering the significant impact on all the local businesses. over-run as it is recognised the current waiting restrictions are subject to abuse by vehicles parking on the footway. This scheme is designed to be fully inclusive. It has been noted that vehicles which The 'proposed' layby allowing for the parking of 6 cars, whilst park on this section of Fir Road park welcome, is an area where cars already park and therefore will half on/half off the footway and verge not assist in mitigating the loss of parking due to double yellow which is inconsiderate and illegal, lines on the east side of Fir Road. construction of the layby will formalise the parking on this section. The junction has been reviewed with regards to turning movements of larger vehicles and the widening is needed to allow a school bus to turn left from Bramhall Lane South into Widening the northern entrance/exit of Fir Road will increase Fir Road without over-running the footway. This causes damage and is the speed of the traffic entering Fir Road and hamper the egress of traffic onto Bramhall Lane South. to the detriment of the safety of users of that footway. As part of modifying the junction, a widened central island will be introduced to provide a safe refuge for pedestrians when crossing. The minutes (to March Area Committee) acknowledge a plan to establish one disabled parking bay near the shops on Fir This scheme is designed to be fully Road. We can only infer from this that Stockport Council are inclusive. aware that removing so much parking is discriminatory and will adversely affect disabled people from accessing the shops Reference to the potential for a

disabled bay on Fir Road was noted.

including the essential services of the pharmacy and optician.

While this is a welcome development it is in no way sufficient.

Due to the current pandemic we, as businesses, are likely to encounter further issues. All appointments and visits with traders on this parade are now subject to longer waiting and appointment times due to the necessary and vital 2 metre physical and social distancing. This prolonging of visits will inevitably cause further strain on existing parking even without the planned loss.

In this day and age of failing businesses, the last thing to do is make it more difficult.

The changes will affect the success and probably the viability of the majority of the businesses on Fir Road which is currently a successful and popular shopping and trading community.

Our clients require parking as near as possible. The businesses provide essential services, reducing their accessibility to the older / disabled population is discriminatory and detrimental to their health and wellbeing.

The roads leading off Fir Road; Waterloo Road and Brookdale Road, are private roads and if parking is limited people may park on these without realising and cause further neighbourhood unrest.

There is currently insufficient parking available at Fir Rd and to impose any restrictions would make the situation even worse.

Extremely concerned about the lack of parking spaces that will be available. Quite often find someone in the space which causes a stress. With lack of parking available this will be impossible and I won't be able to carry any stock from my car.

The scheme is aimed at encouraging alternative forms of travel including walking and cycling and could help ease demand on parking, and free up parking for those who need to drive. The widening of the footway on Fir Road improves access to local services / shops.

It should be noted that parking on the service road is private and not in the control of the Council. Should businesses wish to limit the duration of stay, for example by means of pay and display parking, they could and this could increase turnover of available spaces for customers.

#### Officer Recommendation

In response to the objections received associated with the No Waiting at Any Time on Fir Road, it is recommended this be deleted from the Order and instead bollards be introduced to prevent parking on the foot / cycleway.

## ZA/1977 - ASHBOURNE ROAD & OTHER ROADS, HAZEL GROVE (20MPH SPEED LIMIT) ORDER 2020

#### **Objections and Officer Comments**

A total of two responses were received with reference to the advertised Order.

Objection	Officer Comment
There is no necessity for these measures on	The Order is part of the wider scheme subject to a
Mill Lane as it is a quiet estate road that does	large-scale public consultation in autumn 2019. The
not have any traffic problems at present.	results of the consultation were presented at Area
These measures only cause more traffic	Committees in January 2020, supported by Local
pollution due to cars braking for the road	Councillors and approved for implementation by the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration,
cushion and then acceleration away from them.	including Legal advertisement of associated Traffic
There is always added noise from vehicles	Regulation Orders.
slowing down and accelerating away from the	
road cushions, especially from Lorries, Vans	The scheme and associated 20mph speed limit are
and Buses.	to provide a safer facility for pedestrians and
The road cushions cause lasting damage to	cyclists. On Mill Lane the speed limit will be
vehicles suspension systems even when	supported by vertical traffic calming measures (see
going slow over the road cushions.	ZA/1982), on all other roads '20' roundels will be
I know all the above are real causes for	marked. The traffic calming is in recognition that a
concern for the many years I lived previously	20mph restriction on residential streets needs to be largely self-enforcing with features which do not
around Yew Tree Park Road in Cheadle Hulme.	facilitate high speeds. The vertical traffic calming
	has been designed and will be implemented to
This will only work if there are speed bumps	Council Standard Detail specifications, national
as well as drivers will ignore the speed signs.	standards and recommendations.

#### Officer Recommendation

It is recommended that the Order be made as advertised.

## ZA/1978 - JACKSONS LANE LAY-BY, HAZEL GROVE (CONTROL PARK ZONE FOR VEHICLES EXCEEDING 5 TONNES) ORDER 2020

#### Objections and Officer Comments

A total of one response was received with reference to the advertised Order.

Objection	Officer Comment
Will be a bus stop near the house which will significantly decrease the value of the property. The stop will also cause distress to the dog due to the increased activity and	The comments are noted to be unrelated to the advertised Order, rather the wider scheme subject to a large-scale public consultation in autumn 2019. The 4.5km long route will provide a safer, off-carriageway link for cyclists and pedestrians along Bridge Lane, Jacksons Lane and Dean Lane. The results of the consultation were presented at Area Committees in January 2020, supported by Local Councillors and approved for implementation by the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration, including Legal advertisement of associated Traffic Regulation Orders.
movement. Also it is difficult enough to get out of the drive due to cyclists but with the bus stop even more so, and potentially dangerous.	Bus stops are to be relocated to facilitate the scheme, including the provision of crossing points to allow safe crossings by pedestrians and cyclists along the spine of the route. Impact on property value is not a material consideration for evaluation of a Highway scheme while the relocation of bus stops is arranged between the Council and Transport for Greater Manchester to agree appropriate, safe locations. The Council will contact this resident about their concerns and seek to mitigate the impact of the relocated bus stops on local residents.

#### Officer Recommendation

It is recommended that the Order be made as advertised.

## ZA/1979 - NOTICE OF ESTABLISHMENT OF TOUCAN CROSSINGS AT BRIDGE LANE, JACKSONS LANE AND DEAN LANE, HAZEL GROVE

#### **Objections**

A total of two responses were received with reference to the Notice of Establishment.

As a keen cyclist, finds the plans badly thought out and planned. Has no issues when travelling easterly and westerly on Dean Lane currently. Would find it frustrating having to slow down to walking pace and stop at every side road, and would probably use the carriageway instead.

Travelling down Bridge Lane cycleway at speed, turning into Headlands Road is an accident waiting to happen. Same issue at Bridge Lane, Fiveways on Dean Lane. No provision for cyclists entering Dean Lane / Bridge Lane to travel west from any of the many side roads to the north.

Existing carriageways will be narrowed at the Dean Lane / Chester Road junction with the cycleway not being used by most cyclists, the cyclist / motor vehicle distance will be reduced and could cause an accident.

The plans will degrade this area of Hazel Grove / Bramhall. The area has had a lot of road improvements and this is another project with massive disruption. Do not agree with the destruction of an established Rookery on Matlock Drive.

If the plans go ahead and an accident occurs, the onus is on the Council.

Generally, in favour of the plans. A large oak tree outside the property is a source of huge amounts of debris and the roots are causing damage to the entrance. The root damage could affect the cycleway as well as pose a risk to the cyclists and walkers from the debris. The tree could be removed but at least needs to be trimmed to prevent accidents, damage and debris.

#### Officer Comments

The comments from the 'keen cyclist' are noted, such cyclists will still be able to use the carriageway however many cyclists who are currently put off riding on a busy A road by traffic levels will have a segregated facility to use adjacent to it. Regarding access to the route from residential areas to the north a number of controlled crossings are proposed to facilitate this. The comment about the rookery will be taken up with Council's Ecology Officer.

The comments about the tree are noted and Officers will be in contact with the resident at the detail design stage.

#### <u>Petition</u>

Further, a letter was received with reference to the Notice and signed by 201 respondents to register 'objection to the current plans proposed for the walking and cycling scheme along Dean Lane in Hazel Grove'.

The reasons for objection were not specific to the Notice of Establishment, rather the scheme as a whole. The covering email suggests 'many residents had no knowledge of this scheme and have been outraged with Stockport council's lack of or non-existence of information and consultation on a more individual and widely advertised basis'.

#### Officer Comments

The scheme was subject to a large-scale public consultation in autumn 2019. Leaflets were sent to 30,000 properties across Stockport targeting locations in proximity to various walking and cycling proposals. To further encourage involvement in the consultation, 2,145 were accompanied by a letter to properties in closer proximity to the Bramhall Park to A6 scheme, including along Dean Lane. Additional methods of communication to direct potential respondents to the scheme information and consultation included road signs at targeted locations, posters on lamp columns and at local amenities in proximity to each scheme, a press release and social media schedule. Key stakeholders were also identified.

The results of the consultation were presented at Area Committees in January 2020, supported by Local Councillors and approved for implementation by the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration.

## ZA/1982 - NOTICE OF PROPOSAL TO INSTALL TRAFFIC CALMING ON MILL LANE, HAZEL GROVE

#### **Objections**

A total of three responses were received with reference to the Notice of Establishment.

It is an uncecessary addition to the area, will cause disruption and is an eyesore. Does not wish to have extra wear and tear on vehicle suspension. Also the cycle lanes will remove a lot of the greenery. Believes the money spent on this could go to support services.

No need for any speed humps as there is hardly any through traffic, will cause noise and people looking at houses when passing through. Previous experience in living on a road with speed humps causes noise during the night with milk floats. If a speed hump is required, then one in the middle of the road should suffice.

Welcomes measures but is concerned some of the speed bumps will restrict the dropped kerb crossing points which are already limited. Asks if dropped kerbs be included on Chatsworth's side roads and when the proposal is going to happen.

#### Officer Comments

The scheme was subject to a large-scale public consultation in autumn 2019. The results of the consultation were presented at Area Committees in January 2020, supported by Local Councillors and approved for implementation by the Cabinet Member for Economy & Regeneration.

The scheme and associated 20mph speed limit (see ZA/1977) are to provide a safer facility for pedestrians and cyclists. On Mill Lane the speed limit will be supported by vertical traffic calming measures, on all other roads '20' roundels will be marked. The traffic calming is in recognition that a 20mph restriction on residential streets needs to be largely self-enforcing with features which do not facilitate high speeds. The vertical traffic calming has been designed and will be implemented to Council Standard Detail specifications, national standards and recommendations.

Design of traffic calming will take account of existing driveway access points. The request for drop crossings on side roads on the Chatsworth Road estate is in accordance with the objectives of the MCF and will be investigated further for possible inclusion in the scheme.

#### **OTHER COMMENTS**

In addition to the specific objections and points raised within each response to the advertisements summarised above, several requests were made for copies of Notices which were subsequently provided, and general enquiries about the scheme answered.

The following objection was received without reference to a specific Notice.

Reduces grass verges on Dean Lane considerably to virtually nothing which will have a detrimental effect on insects and wildlife. Dean Lane is used by walkers but there seems to be little room for the pedestrians. Traffic calming measures seem unnecessary.

#### Officer Comments

The scheme has been subject to consideration of ecological implications and in particular care has been taken that where trees are to be removed they will be replaced on a two for one basis. A footway will be provided over the full length of the scheme and in addition a number of controlled crossing points provided across Bridge Lane, Jacksons Lane and Dean Lane.

#### 4. FUNDING

4.1. There are no financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report. The costs associated with making the Orders will be met by MCF.

#### 5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1. The Council has a statutory duty to ensure that its highways operate safely for the safe passage of all traffic including pedestrians and powers to regulate and restrict traffic to assist in that duty.

#### 6. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 6.1. It is recommended that the Committee note the objections received, and
  - ZA/1976 FIR ROAD, BRAMHALL, ARUNDEL AVENUE & JACKSONS LANE, HAZEL GROVE (PROHIBITION AND LIMITED WAITING) (REVOCATION) ORDER 2020 - In response to the objections received associated with the No Waiting at Any Time on Fir Road, it is recommended this be deleted from the Order and instead bollards be introduced to prevent parking on the foot / cycleway.
  - ZA/1977 ASHBOURNE ROAD & OTHER ROADS, HAZEL GROVE (20MPH SPEED LIMIT) ORDER 2020 - It is recommended that the Order be made as advertised.
  - ZA/1978 JACKSONS LANE LAY-BY, HAZEL GROVE (CONTROL PARK ZONE FOR VEHICLES EXCEEDING 5 TONNES) ORDER 2020 - It is recommended that the Order be made as advertised. Note the Council will seek to mitigate the impact of the relocated bus stops on local residents.
  - ZA/1979 NOTICE OF ESTABLISHMENT OF TOUCAN CROSSINGS AT BRIDGE LANE, JACKSONS LANE AND DEAN LANE, HAZEL GROVE - No recommendation (Notice of Establishment only).
  - ZA/1982 NOTICE OF PROPOSAL TO INSTALL TRAFFIC CALMING ON MILL LANE, HAZEL GROVE - No recommendation (Notice of Establishment only).
- 6.2. Anyone requiring further information should contact Alex Purrier on 0161 474 2299 or at alex.purrier@stockport.gov.uk.

#### **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

Mayor's Cycling and Walking Challenge Fund – Bramhall Park to A6, submitted to:

- Stepping Hill Area Committee on 28<sup>th</sup> January (<u>here</u>, Item 9)
   Marple Area Committee on 29<sup>th</sup> January (<u>here</u>, Item 9)
   Bramhall & Cheadle Hulme South Area Committee on 30<sup>th</sup> January (<u>here</u>, Item 10)

**Appendix A** – Legal Notices and associated drawings

ZA/1976 - FIR ROAD, BRAMHALL, ARUNDEL AVENUE & JACKSONS LANE, HAZEL GROVE (PROHIBITION AND LIMITED WAITING) (REVOCATION) ORDER 2020

## THE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL OF STOCKPORT (FIR ROAD, BRAMHALL, ARUNDEL AVENUE & JACKSONS LANE HAZEL GROVE) (PROHIBITION AND LIMITED WAITING) (REVOCATION) ORDER 2020

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Metropolitan Borough Council of Stockport proposes to make an Order under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, the Road Traffic Act 1991 and the Traffic Management Act 2004 the effect of which will be to introduce **No Waiting At Any Time** Fir Road, east side, from a point 17 metres north of the northerly kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 48 metres in a northerly direction. Fir Road, east side, from a point 69 metres south of the southerly kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 13 metres in a southerly direction. Arundel Avenue, both sides, from the northerly kerb line of Jacksons Lane for a distance of 20 metres in a northerly direction. Jacksons Lane, north side, from a point 15 metres west of the westerly kerb line of Arundel Avenue for a distance of 30 metres in a easterly direction. **Limited Waiting, 3 hours no return 1 hour** Fir Road, east side, from a point 11.5 metres south of the southerly kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 39 metres in a southerly direction. **Revoke No Waiting At Any Time** Fir Road, east side, from a point 11.5 metres south of the southerly kerb line of Waterloo Road for a distance of 8.5 metres in a southerly direction.

Full details of these proposals are set out in the draft Order which, together with the map and statement of the Council's reasons for proposing to make the Order, may be viewed at the following link <a href="https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area">https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area</a> However, if you do not have access to the internet, then please contact Legal at <a href="mailto:p&h@stockport.gov.uk">p&h@stockport.gov.uk</a> or on 0161 474 3138 or at Town Hall Stockport SK1 3XE in order to obtain a hard copy.

Any person wishing to object to this proposed Order should write, specifying their grounds for objection, to the undersigned (or by email to p&h@stockport.gov.uk) on or before 17 September 2020 quoting reference ZA/1976.

Dated this 26 August 2020

V. Bates, Strategic Head of Service and Monitoring Officer

(Legal & Democratic Governance)

Town Hall, Stockport, SK1 3XE

ZA/1977 - ASHBOURNE ROAD & OTHER ROADS, HAZEL GROVE (20MPH SPEED LIMIT) ORDER 2020

### THE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL OF STOCKPORT (ASHBOURNE ROAD & OTHER ROADS HAZEL GROVE) (20MPH SPEED LIMIT) ORDER 2020

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Metropolitan Borough Council of Stockport proposes to make an Order under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and the Road Traffic Act 1991 the effect of which will be to introduce 20MPH Speed Limit at Ashbourne Road, Darley Road, Baslow Drive, Millbrook Fold, Old Mill Lane, Devonshire Road, Knowsley Road, Alderley Close, Capesthorne Road, Capesthorne Close, Chatsworth Road, Merton Avenue, Peveril Drive for their entire adopted lengths, Mill Lane from the easterly kerb line of Macclesfield Road for a distance of 690 metres in a easterly, then north easterly direction.

Full details of these proposals are set out in the draft Order which, together with the map and statement of the Council's reasons for proposing to make the Order, may be viewed at the following link <a href="https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area">https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area</a> However, if you do not have access to the internet, then please contact Legal at <a href="page-4">p&h@stockport.gov.uk</a> or on 0161 474 3138 or at Town Hall, Stockport SK1 3XE in order to obtain a hard copy.

Any person wishing to object to this proposed Order should write, specifying their grounds for objection, to the undersigned (or by email to p&h@stockport.gov.uk) on or before 17 September 2020 quoting reference ZA/1977.

Dated this 26 August 2020

V. Bates, Strategic Head of Service and Monitoring Officer

(Legal & Democratic Governance)

Town Hall, Stockport, SK1 3XE

ZA/1978 - JACKSONS LANE LAY-BY, HAZEL GROVE (CONTROL PARK ZONE FOR VEHICLES EXCEEDING 5 TONNES) ORDER 2020

## THE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL OF STOCKPORT (JACKSONS LANE LAY-BY HAZEL GROVE) (CONTROL PARK ZONE FOR VEHICLES EXCEEDING 5 TONNES) ORDER 2020

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Metropolitan Borough Council of Stockport proposes to make an Order under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and the Road Traffic Act 1991 the effect of which will be to introduce a Controlled Park Zone preventing vehicles over 5 tonnes parking overnight (between 8pm and 8am) at Jackson Lane Lay-by, both sides, from a point 160 metres west of the projected westerly kerb line of Arundel Avenue for a distance 190 metres in a westerly direction.

Full details of these proposals are set out in the draft Order which, together with the map and statement of the Council's reasons for proposing to make the Order, may be viewed at the following link <a href="https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area">https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area</a> However, if you do not have access to the internet, then please contact Legal at <a href="page-4666">page-4666</a> page-474 3138 or at Town Hall Stockport SK1 3XE in order to obtain a hard copy.

Any person wishing to object to this proposed Order should write, specifying their grounds for objection, to the undersigned (or by email to p&h@stockport.gov.uk) on or before 25 October 2018 quoting reference ZA/1978.

Dated this 26 August 2020

V Bados

V. Bates Strategic Head of Service (Legal) Town Hall Stockport

ZA/1979 - NOTICE OF ESTABLISHMENT OF TOUCAN CROSSINGS AT BRIDGE LANE, JACKSONS LANE AND DEAN LANE, HAZEL GROVE

# STOCKPORT METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION ACT 1984 NOTICE OF ESTABLISHMENT OF TOUCAN CROSSINGS AT BRIDGE LANE, JACKSONS LANE AND DEAN LANE, HAZEL GROVE

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council, in exercise of its powers under section 23 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, proposes to establish a Toucan Crossings at Bridge Lane, from a point 16 metres east of the easterly kerb line of Valley Road. Bridge Lane, from a point 23 metres west of the westerly kerb line of Headlands Road. Jacksons Lane, from a point 232 metres east of the easterly kerb line of Wallbank Road. Jacksons Lane, from a point 30 metres north west of the north westerly kerb line of the westerly access of Hazel Grove High School. Jacksons Lane, from a point 42 metres south east of the south easterly kerb line of the easterly access of Hazel Grove High School. Jacksons Lane, from a point 17 metres east of the easterly kerb line of Arundel Avenue. Dean Lane, from a point 13 metres west of the westerly kerb line of Hartington Drive.

Full details of these proposals are set out in the draft Order which, together with the map and statement of the Council's reasons for proposing to make the Order, may be viewed at the following link <a href="https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area">https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area</a> However, if you do not have access to the internet, then please contact Legal at <a href="package-align: package-align: regulations-in-your-area">package-align: package-align: package-align:

Any person wishing to make observations in respect of this proposal should write to the undersigned before 17 September 2020 quoting reference number ZA/1979.

Dated this 26 August 2020

V Batos

V. Bates Strategic Head of Service (Legal) Town Hall Stockport

 $\rm ZA/1982$  - NOTICE OF PROPOSAL TO INSTALL TRAFFIC CALMING ON MILL LANE, HAZEL GROVE

# STOCKPORT METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL HIGHWAYS ACT 1980 SECTIONS 90A and 90C NOTICE OF PROPOSAL TO INSTALL TRAFFIC CALMING ON MILL LANE, HAZEL GROVE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council proposes to install Road Cushions 2.55 metres long by 1.9 metres wide at Mill Lane Centre of cushions 40 metres west of the intersection of the western kerbline of Devonshire Road, Centre of cushions 91 metres west of the intersection of the western kerbline of Knowsley Road, Centre of cushions 85 metres east of the intersection of the eastern kerbline of Devonshire Road, Centre of cushions 45.5 metres east of the projected eastern kerbline of Millbrook Fold, Centre of cushions 13 metres west of the projected western kerbline of Old Mill Lane, Centre of cushions 21.5 metres north east of the projected eastern kerbline of Old Mill Lane.

Full details of these proposals are set out in the draft Order which, together with the map and statement of the Council's reasons for proposing to make the Order, may be viewed at the following link <a href="https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area">https://www.stockport.gov.uk/proposed-traffic-regulations-in-your-area</a> However, if you do not have access to the internet, then please contact Legal at <a href="page-466-stockport.gov.uk">page-466-stockport.gov.uk</a> or on 0161 474 3138 or at Town Hall Stockport SK1 3XE in order to obtain a hard copy.

Any person wishing to object to the above proposals should write, specifying their grounds for objection to the undersigned on or before 17 September 2020 quoting reference number ZA/1982.

Dated this 26 August 2020

V. Bates, Strategic Head of Service and Monitoring Officer

(Legal & Democratic Governance)

Town Hall, Stockport, SK1 3XE

#### **DRAWINGS**





















